



**INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING**

**Thursday, January 27, 2022
6:00 PM**

Commission Members:

DISTRICT 1 – TERRY NICOL
DISTRICT 2 – JESSE SUSSELL
DISTRICT 3 – LISA M. TRAN
DISTRICT 4 – CURTIS W. HANSON
AT-LARGE – DELORES COOPER
AT-LARGE – CARLY MICHELE ALEJOS
AT-LARGE – SHERRY SMITH

DISTRICT 5 – WINSTON RHODES
DISTRICT 6 – ELISABETH WATSON
DISTRICT 7 – RANA CHO
DISTRICT 8 – ANDREW FOX
AT-LARGE – LUPE GALLEGOS-DIAZ
AT-LARGE – RONALD K. CHOY

PUBLIC ADVISORY: THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH VIDEOCONFERENCE AND TELECONFERENCE

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e) and the state declared emergency, this meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission will be conducted exclusively through teleconference and Zoom videoconference. The COVID-19 state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meet safely in person and presents imminent risks to the health of attendees. Therefore, no physical meeting location will be available.

To access the meeting remotely using the internet: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Use URL <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82263782343>. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on "rename" to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the "raise hand" icon on the screen.

To join by phone: Dial **1-669-900-9128** or **1-877-853-5257 (Toll Free)** and Enter Meeting ID: **822 6378 2343**. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, press *9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

Written communications submitted by mail or e-mail to the Independent Redistricting Commission by 5:00 p.m. the Friday before the Commission meeting will be distributed to the members of the Commission in advance of the meeting and retained as part of the official record.

AGENDA

Roll Call

Public Comment on Non-Agenda Matters

Minutes for Approval

Draft minutes for the Commission's consideration and approval.

1. Minutes – January 10, 2022 regular meeting

Public Hearing

The public may comment on each item listed on the agenda for action as the item is taken up.

2. Discussion, Review, and Direction on Draft City Council District Maps From: Independent Redistricting Commission

Recommendation: Conduct a public hearing to discuss, review, and provide direction on the draft city council district maps.

Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Action Calendar

The public may comment on each item listed on the agenda for action as the item is taken up.

3. Review and Approval of Table of Contents for Final Report From: Independent Redistricting Commission

Recommendation: Review and approve the table of contents for the final map report to accompany the final map.

Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Subcommittee Reports

Subcommittees may provide verbal reports on their activities and discuss topics under their purview with the full commission. To take action on a subcommittee item, the topic must be agendized on the commission's Action Calendar.

4. Final Report Drafting Subcommittee

5. Map and COI Subcommittee

6. Outreach Subcommittee

Items for Future Agendas and Meeting Calendar

- Discussion of items to be added to the next scheduled meeting calendar
- Discussion and possible modifications to the meeting calendar

Adjournment

This meeting will be conducted in accordance with the Brown Act, Government Code Section 54953. Any member of the public may attend this meeting. Questions regarding this matter may be addressed to Mark Numainville, City Clerk, (510) 981-6900.

Any writings or documents provided to a majority of the Independent Redistricting Commission regarding any item on this agenda are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or redistricting@cityofberkeley.info.

Written communications addressed to the Independent Redistricting Commission and submitted to the City Clerk Department will be distributed to the Commission prior to the meeting.

Communications to the Independent Redistricting Commission are public record and will become part of the City's electronic records, which are accessible through the City's website. Please note: e-mail addresses, names, addresses, and other contact information are not required, but if included in any communication to the Independent Redistricting Commission, will become part of the public record. If you do not want your e-mail address or any other contact information to be made public, you may deliver communications via U.S. Postal Service to the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street. If you do not want your contact information included in the public record, please do not include that information in your communication. Please contact the City Clerk Department for further information.

COMMUNICATION ACCESS INFORMATION:

If you need ASL or Spanish translation services, please contact the City Clerk's Office at (510) 981-6908 or redistricting@cityofberkeley.info at least three business days in advance of the meeting.



To request a disability-related accommodation(s) to participate in the meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, please contact the Disability Services specialist at (510) 981-6418 (V) or (510) 981-6347 (TDD) at least three business days before the meeting date.

I hereby certify that the agenda for this meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission was posted at the display case located near the walkway in front of the Maudelle Shirek Building, 2134 Martin Luther King Jr. Way, as well as on the City's website, on Thursday, January 20, 2022.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Numainville".

Mark Numainville, City Clerk

Communications

Communications submitted to the Independent Redistricting Commission are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or may be viewed through [Records Online](#).

**INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES**

**Monday, January 10, 2022
6:00 PM**

Commission Members:

DISTRICT 1 – TERRY NICOL	DISTRICT 5 – WINSTON RHODES
DISTRICT 2 – JESSE SUSSELL	DISTRICT 6 – ELISABETH WATSON
DISTRICT 3 – LISA M. TRAN	DISTRICT 7 – RANA CHO
DISTRICT 4 – CURTIS W. HANSON	DISTRICT 8 – ANDREW FOX
AT-LARGE – DELORES COOPER	AT-LARGE – LUPE GALLEGOS-DIAZ
AT-LARGE – CARLY MICHELE ALEJOS	AT-LARGE – RONALD K. CHOY
AT-LARGE – SHERRY SMITH	

PUBLIC ADVISORY: THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH VIDEOCONFERENCE AND TELECONFERENCE

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e) and the state declared emergency, this meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission will be conducted exclusively through teleconference and Zoom videoconference. The COVID-19 state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meet safely in person and presents imminent risks to the health of attendees. Therefore, no physical meeting location will be available.

To access the meeting remotely using the internet: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Use URL <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84516471404>. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on "rename" to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the "raise hand" icon on the screen.

To join by phone: Dial **1-669-900-9128 or 1-877-853-5257 (Toll Free)** and Enter Meeting ID: **845 1647 1404**. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, press *9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

Written communications submitted by mail or e-mail to the Independent Redistricting Commission by 5:00 p.m. the Friday before the Commission meeting will be distributed to the members of the Commission in advance of the meeting and retained as part of the official record.

MINUTES

Roll Call: 6:01 p.m.

Present: Alejos, Cho, Cooper, Fox, Hanson, Rhodes, Smith, Tran, Watson

Absent: Choy, Gallegos-Diaz, Nicol, Sussel

Choy present at 6:02 p.m.

Nicol present at 6:07 p.m.

Public Comment on Non-Agenda Matters – 4 speakers

Minutes for Approval

Draft minutes for the Commission's consideration and approval.

- Minutes - December 15, 2021 regular meeting and December 20, 2021 special meeting**
Action: M/S/C (Rhodes/Nicol) to approve the minutes of 12/15/21 and 12/20/21.
Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – Fox, Hanson; Absent – Gallegos-Diaz, Sussell.

Commission Action Items

The public may comment on each item listed on the agenda for action as the item is taken up.

2. **Review of Public Redistricting Submissions**

From: Independent Redistricting Commission

Recommendation: Review and discuss the Subcommittee memo and the completed Map Matrix.

Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Action: 6 speakers. M/S/C (Rhodes/Nicol) to identify consensus on significant themes in the public maps as noted below.

- Unify and Prioritize COIs and Neighborhoods with Minor Changes - Several maps made minor changes to the current boundaries in an effort to correct concerns associated with the current map. For example, the elimination of boundaries established based on the residency of Council members reflected in the current City Council District Map as well as respecting the submitted COI requests.
- Two UC Student Districts - Several maps sought to establish two supermajority “student” districts to increase representation of UC Berkeley student interests on the City Council. These maps usually included student population nodes on and off campus as well as north and south of campus. Often this theme resulted in substantial changes to the other six proposed districts in an effort to equalize the population in each district.
- One More Compact UC Student District – Several maps reflected the substantial increase in student housing between the 2010 and 2020 Census. For example, the large number of students living in existing student housing north of campus near Euclid Avenue, recently built multi-story housing on or near campus, and the students living at the Clark Kerr campus.
- More Representative and Diverse Districts - Some maps were drawn specifically to increase demographic diversity and include more varied housing types and interests. The intent was to create more socio-economic diversity within each district by splitting traditional neighborhood boundaries so each district would contain a wider range of interests.
- West Berkeley District – Some maps proposed unifying west Berkeley and creating a north-south oriented district that contains the Berkeley Marina, Fourth Street, new western University Avenue housing and the City’s industrial and light industrial areas.
- Topography – Highlighting the issues of transit access and wildfire risk for neighborhoods identified by topography features of the city.

Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Fox, Hanson, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – None; Absent – Gallegos-Diaz, Sussell.

3. Direction to Staff on Proposed Maps and Appointment of Two Commissioners to Assist with Development of Draft Maps

From: Independent Redistricting Commission

Recommendation: Provide direction to staff on the themes to include and prioritize in the five draft city council district maps and appoint the two members of the Map Drafting Subcommittee.

Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Action: 3 speakers. M/S/C (Rhodes/Nicol) to provide direction to staff and the Map Drafting Subcommittee on the following themes for development of the first set of draft maps:

1. Themes to be prioritized in all draft maps:
 - a. 10% Population Variance
 - b. Contiguity
 - c. Communities of Interest/Neighborhoods
 - d. Use major arterials as boundaries where possible
 - e. Correct the features of the 2010 map for Councilmember residences
 - f. Include at least one compact student district in every map

2. Themes to be included in one or more draft map variation:
 - a. Single, north-south West Berkeley district
 - b. Topography/Transit Access/ Wildfire Risk
 - c. Two different maps with different configurations for two student majority districts
 - d. A map that has a high level of continuity with the existing boundaries that includes changes only as required by the six mandatory criteria in #1 above.

Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Fox, Hanson, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – None; Absent – Gallegos-Diaz, Sussell.

Action: M/S/C (Smith/Hanson) to appoint Commissioners Rhodes, Nicol, and Alejos to the Map Drafting Subcommittee.

Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – Fox, Hanson; Absent – Gallegos-Diaz, Sussell.

Subcommittee Reports

Subcommittees may provide verbal reports on their activities and discuss topics under their purview with the full commission. To take action on a subcommittee item, the topic must be agendaized on the commission's Action Calendar.

4. Final Report Drafting Subcommittee

- Reported working on the table of contents and drawing from existing materials for the final report contents.

5. Map and COI Subcommittee

- Report provided under Item 2.

6. Outreach Subcommittee

- Reported review of staff's proposed outreach plan for community review of commission maps. Suggested increasing the number of community messages; focusing paid advertisements in Berkeley'side, the East Bay Times, and the Daily Cal; revisit tabling at farmers markets'; seek additional press coverage in Berkeley'side; expand student outreach.

Information Reports

**7. Outreach Plan for Community Review of Commission Maps
From: Independent Redistricting Commission**

Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Action: Received and filed.

Items for Future Agendas and Meeting Calendar

- **Discussion of items to be added to the next scheduled meeting calendar**
 - None
- **Discussion and possible modifications to the meeting calendar**
 - None

Adjournment

Action: M/S/C (Nicol/Rhodes) to adjourn the meeting.

Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Fox, Hanson, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – None; Absent – Gallegos-Diaz, Sussell.

Adjourned at 9:35 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct record of the Independent Redistricting Commission meeting held on January 10, 2022.

Mark Numainville
City Clerk

Communications

Communications submitted to the Independent Redistricting Commission are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or may be viewed through [Records Online](#).

Redistricting Suggestions

39. Aimee Baldwin

Supplemental Communications

40. Commissioner Ronald Choy



City Clerk Department

January 27, 2022

To: Independent Redistricting Commission

From: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary

Subject: Discussion, Review, and Direction on Draft City Council District Maps

Based on direction at the Independent Redistricting Commission's meeting on January 10, four draft redistricting maps are attached to this memorandum. Staff recommends the Commission discuss the draft maps, receive community input, and provide direction regarding further development.

Development of Draft Maps

On January 10, 2022, the IRC created a Map Drafting Subcommittee and appointed Commissioners Rhodes, Nicol, and Alejos. The IRC also established the following themes to be used in the development of the first set of draft maps:

Themes to be prioritized in all draft maps

- a. 10% Maximum Population Variance
- b. Contiguity
- c. Communities of Interest/Neighborhoods
- d. Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible
- e. Correct the features of the 2010 map for Councilmember residences
- f. Include at least one compact student district in every map

Themes to be included in one or more draft map variation:

- a. Single, north-south West Berkeley district
- b. Topography/Transit Access/Wildfire Risk
- c. Two different maps with different configurations for two student majority districts
- d. A map that has a high level of continuity with the existing boundaries that includes changes only as required by the six mandatory criteria above

Working with staff, the Subcommittee met four times over four days to create draft maps. Initially intending to create five draft maps, the process resulted in four draft maps that respond to the full range of the IRC’s direction. This was accomplished by combining multiple aspects of the variations in the same draft map as described below.

Each draft map was given a non-numeric designation for the purpose of identification. The four draft maps are listed below in alphabetical order:

Designation	Description
Amber Map	Consistency Map with Changes Made Only to Address Universal Criteria West Berkeley (Two Districts)
Blue Map	Two Student Districts (East-West) West Berkeley (One District)
Maroon Map	Two Student Districts (North-South) West Berkeley (Two Districts)
Orange Map	Consistency Map with Changes Made Only to Address Universal Criteria West Berkeley (One District)

The draft maps also include narratives explaining in detail how they were developed.

Significant Features of Draft Maps

The primary features of the four draft maps demonstrate the following significant features identified through Commission discussion and community input in maps, written communications, COI Forms, and public comment:

- Options for West Berkeley District 1 and District 2 alignment
- One or two student-focused districts
- Continuity with current districts

These features are incorporated in various configurations in the four draft maps. The incorporation of these variations results in cascading changes that results in varying levels of adherence to the direction on neighborhood cohesion and use of major arterials.

UC Berkeley Student Population

The U.S. Census data does not include specific residency information about the UC Berkeley student population. While mapping the options for the student-focused districts, the Subcommittee discussed the location of both established student-only housing (dormitories, co-ops) and neighborhoods where students traditionally reside. This resulted in general consensus on districts that are student-focused while adhering to the mandatory criteria adopted by the Commission.

Topography/Transit Access/ Wildfire Risk

During the attempt at creating a draft map that used Topography/Transit Access/ Wildfire Risk as a predominant theme in one of the variations, it was discovered that this theme was better addressed as a complimentary theme in the other maps. A single district that encompassed the full hills area along the City's eastern border was not compact, was separated in the middle by Strawberry Canyon, and included communities that would not traditionally be associated with common social or geographic interests. For each draft map, it is noted how many districts represent the higher elevation areas of the City in two, three, or four districts.

Public Input

The draft maps are available to the public at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting. In addition to our interactive viewing tool, PDF maps can be downloaded.

Beginning January 21, large-scale draft maps are available at the Central Library and the South Berkeley Senior Center. Ledger-sized map binders are available at all branch libraries and recreation centers. There are four in-person map-viewing events planned: one at Northbrae Community Church, one at South Berkeley Senior Center, and two at UC Berkeley.

The public may email written comments on the maps to redistricting@cityofberkeley.info, complete a Community of Interest Form, or provide verbal testimony at a public hearing.

Next Steps


At tonight's meeting, the Commission may take a range of actions, such as:

- Providing direction on modifications to one or more of the draft maps;
- Narrowing the number of maps under consideration; or
- Requesting a new draft map configuration.

The Commission may also decide to continue consideration of all draft maps to the next public hearing on February 17, or a special meeting called prior to that date. At the February 28 public hearing, the Commission will select the final map to be adopted on March 16, 2022.

Attachments:

- 1) Amber Map Book
- 2) Blue Map Book
- 3) Maroon Map Book
- 4) Orange Map Book
- 5) Community of Interest Matrix
- 6) Public Map Matrix



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

Draft City Council District Map:

“AMBER MAP”

Published January 20, 2022

AMBER MAP

*Consistency Map with Changes to Address Universal Criteria
West Berkeley (Two Districts)*

The Amber Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that has a high level of continuity with the existing council district boundaries and includes changes only as needed to meet the six universal map criteria. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Amber Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Unify the Poets Corner Neighborhood in District 2;
- Unify the LeConte Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify Lower Spruce/Arch Street with the Northside Neighborhood in District 6;
- Move the census block that contains the International House from District 8 to the existing student district (District 7);
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Maximize the use of the major arterials, University Avenue, Telegraph Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, and Cedar Street, as council district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in two council districts (6, 8).


Contact the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info | (510) 981-6900
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. To obtain additional redistricting information and view the draft maps in an interactive online map, please visit www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

Each district is assigned a number (1 through 8)

2
3.79%

The percent shows the deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.






Fields List

Field	Description
District	Number assigned to district (1 through 8)
PPA_Population	PPA = Population
Deviation	Deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.
% Deviation	
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
PPA White	
% PPA White	
PPA AfAm	Population – African American
%PPA_AfAm	
PPA_AiAn	Population – American Indian and Alaskan Natives
% PPA_AiAn	
PPA_Asian	
% PPA_Asian	
PPA_HoPI	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
%PPA_HoPI	
PPA_Other	
% PPA_Other	
PPA_CVAP_19	CVAP = City Voting Age Population
% PPA_CVAP_19	
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
% Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic White
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Black
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic American Indian
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Asian
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
% PPA NH Hwn CVAP 19	
ACS 14-18 HU Occupied	Housing Units Occupied
ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	Household Median Income
ACS 14-18 Median Age	

“ACS” = American Community Survey

Amber Map

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15757
Deviation	203
% Deviation	1.31%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2291
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.54%
PPA_White	7980
% PPA_White	50.64%
PPA_AfAm	1549
% PPA_AfAm	9.83%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2388
% PPA_Asian	15.16%
PPA_HoPI	67
% PPA_HoPI	0.43%
PPA_Other	171
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10813
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.62%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1205
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.14%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6594
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.98%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	834
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1661
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.36%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6282
18_Owner_occupied	2902
18_Owner_occupied	46.2%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3388
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	53.93%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1125399
ACS 14-18 Median Age	514.02

Amber Map

District: 2



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15785
Deviation	231
% Deviation	1.49%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2691
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.05%
PPA_White	6748
% PPA_White	42.75%
PPA_AfAm	2794
% PPA_AfAm	17.7%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.12%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	179
% PPA_Other	1.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	11043
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.96%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1474
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.35%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5276
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.78%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.52%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6275
18_Owner_occupied	2414
18_Owner_occupied	38.47%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3863
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
ACS 14-18 HH Median Income	929633
ACS 14-28 Median Age	450.23

Amber Map


District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15396
Deviation	-158
% Deviation	-1.02%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2334
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.16%
PPA_White	7002
% PPA_White	45.48%
PPA_AfAm	2347
% PPA_AfAm	15.24%
PPA_AiAn	52
% PPA_AiAn	0.34%
PPA_Asian	2185
% PPA_Asian	14.19%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_Other	172
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	11694
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.95%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1178
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6648
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.85%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1870
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.99%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	65
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.56%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1327
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.35%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5877
18_Owner_occupied	1938
18_Owner_occupied	32.98%
18_Renter_occupied	3921
18_Renter_occupied	66.72%
HH_Median_income	882537
14_18_Median_Age	418.99

Amber Map

District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15677
Deviation	123
% Deviation	0.79%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1852
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.81%
PPA_White	6554
% PPA_White	41.81%
PPA_AfAm	1188
% PPA_AfAm	7.58%
PPA_AiAn	46
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	4827
% PPA_Asian	30.79%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	161
% PPA_Other	1.03%
PPA_CVAP_19	12026
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.71%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1274
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.59%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6126
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	50.94%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	842
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3033
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.22%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.53%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6588
18_Owner_occupied	1401
18_Owner_occupied	21.27%
18_Renter_occupied	5180
18_Renter_occupied	78.63%
HH_Median_income	539006
_14_18_Median_Age	270.54

Amber Map

District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14770
Deviation	-784
% Deviation	-5.04%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1031
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.98%
PPA_White	10189
% PPA_White	68.98%
PPA_AfAm	305
% PPA_AfAm	2.06%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	1917
% PPA_Asian	12.98%
PPA_HoPI	13
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_Other	101
% PPA_Other	0.68%
PPA_CVAP_19	11164
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.59%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	557
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	4.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8649
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	77.47%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	166
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.49%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1341
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.01%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6060
18_Owner_occupied	4356
18_Owner_occupied	71.88%
18_Renter_occupied	1708
18_Renter_occupied	28.18%
HH_Median_income	2097617
14_18_Median_Age	710.05

Amber Map

District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15635
Deviation	81
% Deviation	0.52%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1447
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.25%
PPA_White	9636
% PPA_White	61.63%
PPA_AfAm	444
% PPA_AfAm	2.84%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	2859
% PPA_Asian	18.29%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_Other	145
% PPA_Other	0.93%
PPA_CVAP_19	10967
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.14%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	775
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7756
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.72%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	275
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.51%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1793
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.35%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.31%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5680
18_Owner_occupied	3631
18_Owner_occupied	63.93%
18_Renter_occupied	2056
18_Renter_occupied	36.2%
HH_Median_income	1750752
14_18_Median_Age	532.57

Amber Map


District: 7



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15405
Deviation	-149
% Deviation	-0.96%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3638
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.62%
PPA_White	4946
% PPA_White	32.11%
PPA_AfAm	435
% PPA_AfAm	2.82%
PPA_AiAn	6
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5492
% PPA_Asian	35.65%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_Other	73
% PPA_Other	0.47%
PPA_CVAP_19	10577
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.66%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1890
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.87%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3877
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	36.66%
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	304
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	2.87%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3858
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	36.48%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2098
18_Owner_occupied	119
18_Owner_occupied	5.67%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	1982
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	94.47%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	202115
ACS 14-18 Median Age	115.36



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

Draft City Council District Map:

“BLUE MAP”

Published January 20, 2022

Contact the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info | (510) 981-6900
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. To obtain additional redistricting information and view the draft maps in an interactive online map, please visit www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

BLUE MAP

*Two Student Districts (East-West)
West Berkeley (One District)*

The Blue Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create draft maps that show variations on two student-focused districts, and the direction to show a map that has a north to south orientation for a single West Berkeley District. This map also meets the six universal map criteria to varying degrees. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Blue Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Create two “student-focused” districts with an east-west orientation (4,7);
- Create a single West Berkeley District west of San Pablo Avenue and including the neighborhood surrounding San Pablo Park (2);
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Move the Poet’s Corner Neighborhood to District 1;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify LeConte Neighborhood in District 8;
- Move the District 5 and District 6 border from Spruce Street to Arlington Avenue;
- Move the Panoramic Hill Neighborhood and the Clark Kerr Campus into the eastern student district (District 7);
- Move the portion of the Northside Neighborhood south of LeConte Avenue into the western student district (District 4);
- Move a portion of Central Berkeley and Downtown Neighborhoods into District 3;
- The above changes necessarily create a lower degree of neighborhood cohesion for the following neighborhoods: North Berkeley, Central Berkeley, Downtown, Southside, North Shattuck;
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Use of the major arterials, San Pablo Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Arlington Avenue, Adeline Avenue, and Telegraph Avenue, as council district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in three council districts (6, 7, 8).

Each district is assigned a number (1 through 8)

2
3.79%

The percent shows the deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.





Fields List

Field	Description
District	Number assigned to district (1 through 8)
PPA_Population	PPA = Population
Deviation	Deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.
% Deviation	
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
PPA White	
% PPA White	
PPA AfAm	Population – African American
%PPA_AfAm	
PPA_AiAn	Population – American Indian and Alaskan Natives
% PPA_AiAn	
PPA_Asian	
% PPA_Asian	
PPA_HoPI	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
%PPA_HoPI	
PPA_Other	
% PPA_Other	
PPA_CVAP_19	CVAP = City Voting Age Population
% PPA_CVAP_19	
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
% Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic White
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Black
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic American Indian
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Asian
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
% PPA NH Hwn CVAP 19	
ACS 14-18 HU Occupied	Housing Units Occupied
ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	Household Median Income
ACS 14-18 Median Age	

“ACS” = American Community Survey

Blue Map


District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15750
Deviation	196
% Deviation	1.26%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1892
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	12.01%
PPA_White	7937
% PPA_White	50.39%
PPA_AfAm	1486
% PPA_AfAm	9.43%
PPA_AiAn	37
% PPA_AiAn	0.23%
PPA_Asian	2948
% PPA_Asian	18.72%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_CVAP_19	11655
% PPA_CVAP_19	74%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1178
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.11%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6818
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	58.5%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1317
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	11.3%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1747
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.99%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.42%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6758
18_Owner_occupied	2694
18_Owner_occupied	39.86%
18_Renter_occupied	4069
18_Renter_occupied	60.21%
HH_Median_income	1195301
14_18_Median_Age	515.11

Blue Map

District: 3




©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15238
Deviation	-316
% Deviation	-2.03%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2306
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.13%
PPA_White	6989
% PPA_White	45.87%
PPA_AfAm	2382
% PPA_AfAm	15.63%
PPA_AiAn	39
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2100
% PPA_Asian	13.78%
PPA_HoPI	26
% PPA_HoPI	0.17%
PPA_CVAP_19	11143
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.13%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1122
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6182
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	55.48%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1725
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	15.48%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.13%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1512
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.57%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	7
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.06%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6026
18_Owner_occupied	2205
18_Owner_occupied	36.59%
18_Renter_occupied	3813
18_Renter_occupied	63.28%
HH_Median_income	905253
14_18_Median_Age	435.77

Blue Map

District: 4



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15892
Deviation	338
% Deviation	2.17%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2971
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	18.69%
PPA_White	5167
% PPA_White	32.51%
PPA_AfAm	917
% PPA_AfAm	5.77%
PPA_AiAn	29
% PPA_AiAn	0.18%
PPA_Asian	5817
% PPA_Asian	36.6%
PPA_HoPI	40
% PPA_HoPI	0.25%
PPA_CVAP_19	10913
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.67%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1527
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4656
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	42.66%
A_NH_Blck_CVAP_19	614
A_NH_Blck_CVAP_19	5.63%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	17
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3273
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	29.99%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	190
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.74%
14_18_HU_Occupied	4901
18_Owner_occupied	403
18_Owner_occupied	8.22%
18_Renter_occupied	4500
18_Renter_occupied	91.82%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied 4500

% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied 91.82%

ACS 14-18 HH Median income 301760

ACS 14-18 Median Age 178.16

Blue Map

District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14911
Deviation	-643
% Deviation	-4.13%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1129
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.57%
PPA_White	9884
% PPA_White	66.29%
PPA_AfAm	343
% PPA_AfAm	2.3%
PPA_AiAn	8
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	2187
% PPA_Asian	14.67%
PPA_HoPI	10
% PPA_HoPI	0.07%
PPA_CVAP_19	11294
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.74%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	656
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.81%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8485
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	75.13%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1.63%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1572
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.92%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6261
18_Owner_occupied	3905
18_Owner_occupied	62.37%
18_Renter_occupied	2352
18_Renter_occupied	37.57%
HH_Median_income	1766602
14_18_Median_Age	636.42

Blue Map

District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15759
Deviation	205
% Deviation	1.32%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1052
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.68%
PPA_White	10573
% PPA_White	67.09%
PPA_AfAm	326
% PPA_AfAm	2.07%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	2505
% PPA_Asian	15.9%
PPA_HoPI	17
% PPA_HoPI	0.11%
PPA_CVAP_19	11746
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.54%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	637
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.42%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8750
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	74.49%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	235
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	6
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.05%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1704
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.51%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	25
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.21%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6515
18_Owner_occupied	4614
18_Owner_occupied	70.82%
18_Renter_occupied	1913
18_Renter_occupied	29.36%
HH_Median_income	2070337
14_18_Median_Age	655.83

Blue Map

District: 7



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15358
Deviation	-196
% Deviation	-1.26%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2967
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	19.32%
PPA_White	5918
% PPA_White	38.53%
PPA_AfAm	508
% PPA_AfAm	3.31%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	4911
% PPA_Asian	31.98%
PPA_HoPI	9
% PPA_HoPI	0.06%
PPA_CVAP_19	11882
% PPA_CVAP_19	77.37%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	2023
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.03%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4877
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	41.05%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	252
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.12%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	47
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.4%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4046
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	34.05%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.25%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2441
18_Owner_occupied	323
18_Owner_occupied	13.23%
18_Renter_occupied	2125
18_Renter_occupied	87.05%
HH_Median_income	451167
14_18_Median_Age	150.01


Blue Map

District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15381
Deviation	-173
% Deviation	-1.11%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1517
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.86%
PPA_White	9482
% PPA_White	61.65%
PPA_AfAm	574
% PPA_AfAm	3.73%
PPA_AiAn	35
% PPA_AiAn	0.23%
PPA_Asian	2388
% PPA_Asian	15.53%
PPA_HoPI	20
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	12542
% PPA_CVAP_19	81.54%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	826
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.59%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9042
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	72.09%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	585
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	4.66%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	61
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.49%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1567
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.49%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6289
18_Owner_occupied	2769
18_Owner_occupied	44.03%
18_Renter_occupied	3517
18_Renter_occupied	55.92%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3517
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	55.92%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1439365
ACS 14-18 Median Age	502.68



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

Draft City Council District Map:

“MAROON MAP”

Published January 20, 2022

Contact the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info | (510) 981-6900
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. To obtain additional redistricting information and view the draft maps in an interactive online map, please visit www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

MAROON MAP

*Two Student Districts (North-South)
West Berkeley (Two Districts)*

The Maroon Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create draft maps that show variations on two student-focused districts. This map shows West Berkeley in its current configuration of two districts. This map also meets the six universal map criteria to varying degrees. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Maroon Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Create two “student-focused” districts with a north-south orientation (4, 7);
- Use the current configuration for two West Berkeley districts;
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Unify the Poet’s Corner Neighborhood in District 2;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Move the border between District 5 and District 6 from Spruce Street to Arlington Avenue;
- Move the Panoramic Hill Neighborhood and the Clark Kerr Campus from District 8 to the south student district (District 7);
- Move the portion of the Northside Neighborhood south of LeConte Avenue into the north student district (District 4);
- The above changes necessarily create a lower degree of Neighborhood cohesion for the following neighborhoods: LeConte, Northside, North Shattuck;
- As compared to the Blue Map, this configuration of the student-focused districts results in a comparatively lower density of student residents in District 4 with the inclusion of the Central Berkeley Neighborhood;
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Use of the major arterials, University Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Arlington Avenue, Adeline Avenue, Dwight Way, and Bancroft Way, as district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in four council districts (4, 6, 7, 8).

Each district is assigned a number (1 through 8)

2
3.79%

The percent shows the deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.






Fields List

Field	Description
District	Number assigned to district (1 through 8)
PPA_Population	PPA = Population
Deviation	Deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.
% Deviation	
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
PPA White	
% PPA White	
PPA AfAm	Population – African American
%PPA_AfAm	
PPA_AiAn	Population – American Indian and Alaskan Natives
% PPA_AiAn	
PPA_Asian	
% PPA_Asian	
PPA_HoPI	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
%PPA_HoPI	
PPA_Other	
% PPA_Other	
PPA_CVAP_19	CVAP = City Voting Age Population
% PPA_CVAP_19	
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
% Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic White
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Black
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic American Indian
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Asian
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
% PPA NH Hwn CVAP 19	
ACS 14-18 HU Occupied	Housing Units Occupied
ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	Household Median Income
ACS 14-18 Median Age	

“ACS” = American Community Survey

Maroon Map

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15757
Deviation	203
% Deviation	1.31%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2291
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.54%
PPA_White	7980
% PPA_White	50.64%
PPA_AfAm	1549
% PPA_AfAm	9.83%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2388
% PPA_Asian	15.16%
PPA_HoPI	67
% PPA_HoPI	0.43%
PPA_Other	171
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10813
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.62%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1205
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.14%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6594
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.98%
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	834
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	7.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1661
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.36%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6267
18_Owner_occupied	2899
18_Owner_occupied	46.26%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3376
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	53.87%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1123785
ACS 14-18 Median Age	513.40

Maroon Map

District: 2



Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15785
Deviation	231
% Deviation	1.49%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2691
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.05%
PPA_White	6748
% PPA_White	42.75%
PPA_AfAm	2794
% PPA_AfAm	17.7%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.12%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	179
% PPA_Other	1.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	11043
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.96%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1474
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.35%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5276
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.78%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.52%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6275
18_Owner_occupied	2414
18_Owner_occupied	38.47%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3863
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	929633
ACS 14-18 Median Age	450.23

Maroon Map


District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	14811
Deviation	-743
% Deviation	-4.78%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2298
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.52%
PPA_White	6670
% PPA_White	45.03%
PPA_AfAm	2383
% PPA_AfAm	16.09%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2034
% PPA_Asian	13.73%
PPA_HoPI	20
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	161
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10978
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.12%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1186
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.8%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6067
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	55.27%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1859
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	16.93%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	10
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.09%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1239
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.29%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.04%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5711
18_Owner_occupied	1942
18_Owner_occupied	34%
18_Renter_occupied	3759
18_Renter_occupied	65.82%
HH_Median_income	894560
_14_18_Median_Age	423.32

Maroon Map

District: 4




Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15925
Deviation	371
% Deviation	2.39%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2150
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.5%
PPA_White	6533
% PPA_White	41.02%
PPA_AfAm	1180
% PPA_AfAm	7.41%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.28%
PPA_Asian	4826
% PPA_Asian	30.3%
PPA_HoPI	53
% PPA_HoPI	0.33%
PPA_Other	159
% PPA_Other	1%
PPA_CVAP_19	11276
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.81%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1199
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.63%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5495
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	48.73%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	847
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.51%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	21
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.19%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3042
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	26.98%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	193
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.71%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5445
18_Owner_occupied	1115
18_Owner_occupied	20.48%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	4321
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	79.36%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	671059
ACS 14-18 Median Age	244.81

Maroon Map

District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14749
Deviation	-805
% Deviation	-5.18%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1101
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.46%
PPA_White	9368
% PPA_White	63.52%
PPA_AfAm	410
% PPA_AfAm	2.78%
PPA_AiAn	8
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	2568
% PPA_Asian	17.41%
PPA_HoPI	10
% PPA_HoPI	0.07%
PPA_Other	112
% PPA_Other	0.76%
PPA_CVAP_19	11245
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.24%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	766
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.81%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8315
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	73.94%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	187
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.66%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1503
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.37%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6270
18_Owner_occupied	3568
18_Owner_occupied	56.91%
18_Renter_occupied	2704
18_Renter_occupied	43.13%
HH_Median_income	1745808
14_18_Median_Age	611.72

Maroon Map

District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15401
Deviation	-153
% Deviation	-0.98%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1029
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.68%
PPA_White	10387
% PPA_White	67.44%
PPA_AfAm	316
% PPA_AfAm	2.05%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	2389
% PPA_Asian	15.51%
PPA_HoPI	17
% PPA_HoPI	0.11%
PPA_Other	136
% PPA_Other	0.88%
PPA_CVAP_19	11460
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.41%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	613
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.35%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8567
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	74.76%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	228
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.99%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	6
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.05%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1664
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.52%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	25
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6335
18_Owner_occupied	4550
18_Owner_occupied	71.82%
18_Renter_occupied	1794
18_Renter_occupied	28.32%
HH_Median_income	2039311
_14_18_Median_Age	640.80


Maroon Map

District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	16029
Deviation	475
% Deviation	3.05%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3820
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.83%
PPA_White	5630
% PPA_White	35.12%
PPA_AfAm	480
% PPA_AfAm	2.99%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5097
% PPA_Asian	31.8%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_Other	60
% PPA_Other	0.37%
PPA_CVAP_19	11577
% PPA_CVAP_19	72.23%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	2127
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	18.37%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4685
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	40.47%
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	303
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	2.62%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.02%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3876
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	33.48%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2139
18_Owner_occupied	236
18_Owner_occupied	11.03%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	1912
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	89.39%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	157355
ACS 14-18 Median Age	126.31



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

Draft City Council District Map:

“ORANGE MAP”

Published January 20, 2022

Contact the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info | (510) 981-6900
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. To obtain additional redistricting information and view the draft maps in an interactive online map, please visit www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

ORANGE MAP

*Consistency Map with Changes to Address Universal Criteria
West Berkeley (One District)*

The Orange Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that has a high level of continuity with the existing council district boundaries and includes changes as needed to meet the universal criteria. This map maintains general consistency for Districts 3 – 8, with significant changes to Districts 1 and 2 in West Berkeley. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Orange Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:


- Create a single West Berkeley District west of San Pablo Avenue and including the neighborhood around San Pablo Park;
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Move the Poets Corner Neighborhood to District 1;
- Unify the LeConte Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify Lower Spruce/Arch Street with the Northside Neighborhood in District 6;
- Move the census block that contains the International House from District 8 to the existing student district (District 7);
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Maximize the use of the major arterials, San Pablo Avenue, Telegraph Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, and Cedar Street, as district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in two council districts (6, 8).

Each district is assigned a number (1 through 8)

2
3.79%

The percent shows the deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.





Fields List

Field	Description
District	Number assigned to district (1 through 8)
PPA_Population	PPA = Population
Deviation	Deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.
% Deviation	
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
PPA White	
% PPA White	
PPA AfAm	Population – African American
%PPA_AfAm	
PPA_AiAn	Population – American Indian and Alaskan Natives
% PPA_AiAn	
PPA_Asian	
% PPA_Asian	
PPA_HoPI	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
%PPA_HoPI	
PPA_Other	
% PPA_Other	
PPA_CVAP_19	CVAP = City Voting Age Population
% PPA_CVAP_19	
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
% Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic White
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Black
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic American Indian
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Asian
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
% PPA NH Hwn CVAP 19	
ACS 14-18 HU Occupied	Housing Units Occupied
ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	Household Median Income
ACS 14-18 Median Age	

“ACS” = American Community Survey

Orange Map

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15378
Deviation	-1.76
% Deviation	-1.13%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1783
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.59%
PPA_White	8221
% PPA_White	53.46%
PPA_AfAm	1289
% PPA_AfAm	8.38%
PPA_AiAn	22
% PPA_AiAn	0.14%
PPA_Asian	2610
% PPA_Asian	16.97%
PPA_HoPI	26
% PPA_HoPI	0.17%
PPA_Other	160
% PPA_Other	1.04%
PPA_CVAP_19	11303
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.5%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1021
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.03%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7007
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61.99%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1046
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	9.25%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1673
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.8%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.43%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6785
18_Owner_occupied	2954
18_Owner_occupied	43.54%
18_Renter_occupied	3842
18_Renter_occupied	56.62%
HH_Median_income	1218593
14_18_Median_Age	545.04

Orange Map

District: 2




Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	16144
Deviation	590
% Deviation	3.79%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3195
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	19.79%
PPA_White	6507
% PPA_White	40.31%
PPA_AfAm	3045
% PPA_AfAm	18.86%
PPA_AiAn	67
% PPA_AiAn	0.42%
PPA_Asian	1846
% PPA_Asian	11.43%
PPA_HoPI	98
% PPA_HoPI	0.61%
PPA_Other	190
% PPA_Other	1.18%
PPA_CVAP_19	10543
% PPA_CVAP_19	65.31%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1657
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	15.72%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4863
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	46.13%
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	2495
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	23.66%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.19%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	893
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.47%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	106
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.01%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5710
18_Owner_occupied	2353
18_Owner_occupied	41.21%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3358
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	58.81%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	831076
ACS 14-18 Median Age	416.25

Orange Map

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15396
Deviation	-158
% Deviation	-1.02%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2334
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.16%
PPA_White	7002
% PPA_White	45.48%
PPA_AfAm	2347
% PPA_AfAm	15.24%
PPA_AiAn	52
% PPA_AiAn	0.34%
PPA_Asian	2185
% PPA_Asian	14.19%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_Other	172
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	11694
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.95%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1178
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6648
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.85%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1870
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.99%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	65
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.56%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1327
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.35%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5875
18_Owner_occupied	1938
18_Owner_occupied	32.99%
18_Renter_occupied	3919
18_Renter_occupied	66.71%
HH_Median_income	882480
14_18_Median_Age	418.82

Orange Map

District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15697
Deviation	143
% Deviation	0.92%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1856
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.82%
PPA_White	6554
% PPA_White	41.75%
PPA_AfAm	1197
% PPA_AfAm	7.63%
PPA_AiAn	46
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	4830
% PPA_Asian	30.77%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	161
% PPA_Other	1.03%
PPA_CVAP_19	12036
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.68%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1275
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.59%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6126
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	50.9%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	843
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3034
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.53%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6650
18_Owner_occupied	1410
18_Owner_occupied	21.2%
18_Renter_occupied	5231
18_Renter_occupied	78.66%
HH_Median_income	544369
_14_18_Median_Age	273.50

Orange Map

District: 6




Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15635
Deviation	81
% Deviation	0.52%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1447
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.25%
PPA_White	9636
% PPA_White	61.63%
PPA_AfAm	444
% PPA_AfAm	2.84%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	2859
% PPA_Asian	18.29%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_Other	145
% PPA_Other	0.93%
PPA_CVAP_19	10967
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.14%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	775
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7756
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.72%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	275
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.51%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1793
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.35%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.31%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5680
18_Owner_occupied	3631
18_Owner_occupied	63.93%
18_Renter_occupied	2056
18_Renter_occupied	36.2%
HH_Median_income	1750752
14_18_Median_Age	532.57

Univ of California
©2021 CALIPER

Orange Map

District: 7



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15405
Deviation	-149
% Deviation	-0.96%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3638
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.62%
PPA_White	4946
% PPA_White	32.11%
PPA_AfAm	435
% PPA_AfAm	2.82%
PPA_AiAn	6
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5492
% PPA_Asian	35.65%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_Other	73
% PPA_Other	0.47%
PPA_CVAP_19	10577
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.66%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1890
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.87%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3877
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	36.66%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	304
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.87%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3858
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	36.48%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2098
18_Owner_occupied	119
18_Owner_occupied	5.67%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	1982
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	94.47%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	202115
ACS 14-18 Median Age	115.36

Orange Map

District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	16008
Deviation	454
% Deviation	2.92%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1745
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.9%
PPA_White	9402
% PPA_White	58.73%
PPA_AfAm	519
% PPA_AfAm	3.24%
PPA_AiAn	20
% PPA_AiAn	0.12%
PPA_Asian	2963
% PPA_Asian	18.51%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	113
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	13434
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.92%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1273
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.48%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8747
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.11%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	408
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	3.04%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	53
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.39%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2395
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	17.83%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6040
18_Owner_occupied	2504
18_Owner_occupied	41.46%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3550
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	58.77%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1432972
ACS 14-18 Median Age	478.09

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
1	7/19/2021	7	Raina Zhao on behalf of ASUC	UC Berkeley student body	District 7, south of UC Berkeley campus. Most students live within 1 mile of campus.	2490 Channing Way, 94704		STUDENT REPRESENTATION		YES	STUDENTS SHOULD BE GROUPED TOGETHER			
2	7/20/2021	2	Joanna Louie	Infrastructure; crime; pollution	South west Berkeley	2995 San Pablo Ave, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD EQUITY; CRIME		NO				
3	7/20/2021	5	B. Yoder	Safety concerns	Ada Street between Ordway and Acton. Ada between Acton and Sacramento, folks on Acton and on Ordway from Hopkins to Rose, a few folks on Hopkins just below and just above Orway.	1400 Ada St, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS; SAFETY		YES	MAINTAIN COI			
4	7/20/2021	5	Margot Dashiell	Close proximity; neighborhood area	Ada street	1400 Ada St, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS		YES	MAINTAIN COI			
5	7/21/2021	5	Joe Berry	Demographics; Development	Lower hills, near Marin/Arlington Circle.	2100 Marin Ave, 94707		AFFORDABLE HOUSING; HOUSING EQUITY		NO				
6	7/21/2021	5	John	Gardening, art, music, food, being outdoors	Ada Street between Ordway and Acton.	1400 Ada St, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS		YES	MAINTAIN NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY			
7		1	Prateek Haldar	High quality schools, development at North Berkeley BART, affordable housing, creation of bike lanes, improving vibrancy of Hopkins/Gilman shopping/restaurants	Bound by Hopkins Street on the north, Sacramento on the west (or San Pablo) MLK on the east, and Cedar on the south.	1359 Rose St, 94702		HOUSING EQUITY; HOUSING DEVELOPMENT; NO BERK BART		NO				
8	7/28/2021	5	1546 Milvia	Gerrymandered out of District 4. Neighborhood/block split in 2	Milvia at District 4/5 - split the 2 sides of the block and put in District 5	1450 Milvia St, 94709		COUNCILMEMBER RESIDENCY; NEIGHBORHOOD SPLIT		YES	BOUNDARIES SHOULDN'T BE DRAWN BASED ON COUNCILMEMBER RESIDENCY			
9	7/28/2021	None		Helping each other- sharing tools, offering rides, celebrating wins, informing each other about noisy construction, or house repairs	Tilden Park to the east and south, grizzly peak to the west and Cragmont to the north	50 Whitaker Ave, 94708	(Unclear geographic location. Selected Grizzly Peak Park address.)	NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION		NO				
10	7/30/2021	8	Vincent Casalaina	Crime reduction, maintaining characteristic housing (single-family or single family + ADU), transit	Willard neighborhood. Telegraph/Parker & College/Ashby.	2730 Hillegass Ave, 94705		IMPROVED RESOURCE/SERVICE EQUITY; TRANSPORTATION; CRIME; SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING		YES				
11	8/16/2021	5	No name (kktompkins@gmail.com)	Beautification, Solano Ave corridor development, property crime	Far north Berkeley adjacent to Solano Ave to Albany border in the west.	1559 Solano Ave, 94707		NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION; CRIME		NO				
12	8/20/2021	2	No name	Schools, garbage; effects from nearby homeless population	Fourth & Fifth, from Dwight to Addison	800 Bancroft Way, 94710		NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS		NO				
13	8/26/2021	None	No name	clean air, affordable low density housing, transportation networks that dont smash thru our neighborhoods, slow streets, public safety, litter and street trash, childcare, parks, trees, community green space	north west berkeley - west of San Pablo to University	1529 Sixth St, 94710		NEIGHBORHOOD EQUITY/SERVICES; INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY/ DEVELOPMENT/POLLUTION		NO				
14	8/26/2021	1	nan@essentialbusinessbehaviors.com	Families, safety, community	North Berkeley BART, Adult school on Virginia, Rose St. on other side of Cedar-Rose Park, San Pablo Avenue, Cedar Street	1201 Virginia St, 94702		HOMELESSNESS; NORT BERK BART; HOUSING DENSITY		NO				
15	9/12/2021	3	No name	More racially mixed than North or Central Berkeley	Corner of Parker and McGee	1700 Parker St, 94703		RACIAL DIVERSITY; PROPERTY VALUES		NO				
16	9/12/2021	None	No name	International Coastal Clean-up month; Disaster Relief Committees; Food/beverage committee; Clean-up committee	B/W West Berkeley and North	1720 Eighth St, 94710	Changed it to James Kenney (Unclear geographic location. Picked an intersection in Northwest Berkeley neighborhood for pin.)	AFFORDABILITY; INCLUSION; WATERFRONT CLEAN-UP		NO				

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
17	9/14/2021	6	No name	Context (scale & mix), distant views, especially of the bay and the coastal hills; mainly a residential area with single-family homes, many with secondary units, typically with backyards and gardens; could see a mix of smaller vehicles and better transit, but it needs to be phased in, grandfathering older residents who depend on cars. Streets could be rethought. Filling every backyard with an ADU or building out single-family sites would be a mistake, but a thoughtful mix would be fine. Same comment about the Shattuck corridor - do't overload it. Some density but not a view-blocking wall.	Oxford Street and east. Odd situation where three districts overlap and near neighbors are represented by Hahn, Harrison, and Wengraf, whose districts differ substantially. District 6 should take in the north Shattuck corridor. We are closer to Thousand Oaks (Hahn) than the west side of Shattuck (Harrison) in interests, I sense.	1600 Oxford St, 94709		HOME OWNERSHIP; VIEW PRESERVATION; DISTRICT BOUNDARIES (5&6); MAINTAIN SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING		YES	MAINTAIN COI			
18	9/23/2021	2	Veronica	Latinos with long history of home ownership and multiple generation households	5th street and San Pablo, between University and Dwight	920 Allston Way, 94710		SERVICE ALLOCATION; RACIAL EQUITY; HISTORICAL LATINO NEIGHBORHOOD; RESOURCE EQUITY; MULTIGENERATIONAL LIVING		NO				
19	9/27/2021	2	Sheryl	public safety, education, beautification	San Pablo Park neighborhood, West Berkeley, Left Bank are all names used for D2	2501 San Pablo Ave, 94702		HOMELESSNESS; INDUSTRIAL POLLUTION; DIVERSITY; PUBLIC SAFETY		NO				
20	9/28/2021	2	Ms. Ty	Crime reduction, clean streets (eliminate illegal dumping), affordable housing	South Berkeley	3100 Adeline St, 94703	(Unclear geographic location. Selected park near Sacramento and Fairview.)	FORGOTTEN NEIGHBORHOOD; ILLEGAL DUMPING; CRIME; AFFORDABLE HOUSING		NO				
21	9/28/2021	3	Ayanna Davis	Berkeley Black Community, State of Black Berkeley	My community of interest is South West Berkeley beginning at Cedar and 4th Street and ending at 62nd and Adeline. West Berkeley, South Berkeley, Loren District	2546 Tenth St, 94710	(Large geographic location. Selected an address central to the described area.)	HOUSING/RESOURCE EQUITY; POVERTY; FOOD INSECURITY; HEALTH/ECONOMIC EQUITY; HISTORICAL BLACK NEIGHBORHOOD		YES	No, MAINTAIN DISTRICT 3			UNDERFUNDED?
22	9/29/2021	1	James	Public safety (homelessness/mentally ill people)	Gourmet Ghetto	1549 Shattuck Ave, 94709	(Unclear geographic area. Selected address in North Shattuck neighborhood.)	PUBLIC SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS; MENTAL ILLNESS		NO				
23	9/29/2021	3	No name	diversity in ppl and architecture. nice flat and walkable, close to SF, Oakland, easy access;	South Berkeley	3075 Adeline St, 94703	(Unclear geographic area. Selected address near streets named in COI form.)	HOMELESSNESS; CRIME; ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; RESOURCE EQUITY; DIVERSITY		NO				
24	10/2/2021	4	No name	Safe neighborhood (walkable/bike friendly); traffic concerns, homelessness/littering, UC Berkeley take over of town.	Central Berkeley between Sacramento and downtown.	2246 McGee Ave, 94703		PUBLIC SAFETY; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS; RELATIONSHIP WITH UCB		NO				
25	10/8/2021	5	north Shattuck	Environment, trees, city upkeep, art, ease of shopping, parking, good food, lovely parks, socializing, access to BART.	Marin Circle to University Avenue, from Grizzly Peak to Sacramento streets. Name provided: North Shattuck	1444 Shattuck Pl, 94709	Changed the pin to the Safeway in North Berkeley	TRANSPORTATION; FIRE SAFETY/EVACUATION; ROADWAY CONDITIONS; HOMELESSNESS		YES				DISTRICT 5
26	10/16/2021	8	Elizabeth		Elmwood District	2703 Stuart Street, 94705		None		See map	See map			MAP
27	10/16/2021	2	Ben Gardella	Strawberry Creek Park	Alston, Sacramento, Sacramento and Dwight Street Name provided: Poet's Corner	1314 Bancroft Way, 94702		MAINTAIN COI; NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY; PARK		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
28	10/16/2021	2	Heather Clauge	Strawberry Creek Park	University to Dwight, Sacramento to San Pablo Name provided: Poet's Corner	1298 Bancroft Way, 94702		PARK/RECREATION; HOMELESSNESS; COI NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			
29	10/17/2021	2	Douglas Smith	Families raising young children, retirees and elders aging in place, multigenerational housing--all of whom patronize the businesses along the San Pablo and University corridors and make use of primary parks like San Pablo Park, Strawberry Creek Park & Aquatic Park. Neighbors band together to monitor safety & crime, pedestrian/bike safety, working closely with our new Councilmember Taplin. There is a cohesive atmosphere which underscores a sense of this being a true community of individuals, looking out for each other.	South to San Pablo Park, the 9th Street Bike Boulevard to the west, north to University Avenue, and east to Sacramento Street. University Avenue does seem to be a true dividing line and an appropriate boundary between D2 and D1; somehow San Pablo does not divide the Community. Name provided: Poet's Corner	1312 Bancroft Way, 94702		MULTIGENERATIONAL HOUSING; PARKS & RECREATION; SAFETY; CRIME		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			
30	10/17/2021	1	No name	Preserving residential character of neighborhood for livability. Safety of residents (crime prevention and optimal traffic/pedestrian flow). Diverse demographics.	San Pablo to the west, University Avenue to the South; Shattuck to the East; and Vine to the north. Name provided: Northbrae	1619 Edith St, 94703		TRAFFIC/TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; POPULATION DENSITY; NEIGHBORHOOD LIVABILITY; ZONING; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION		YES	PRESERVE RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER			
31	10/18/2021	2	No name	commitment to Family, school, community events, shared political affiliations, diverse cultures, mixed low and middle income housing and proximity to shopping. We enjoy our Great walking and biking score!	From the Bay to Sacramento Street; from University to Bancroft. Connected to neighbors, particularly on Byron Street and Cowper. Name provided: Poet's Corner	2228 San Pablo Ave, 94702		TRAFFIC CONTROL; STREET PAVING; DENSITY; ECONOMIC DEV; FERRY; MIXED HOUSING		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			
32	10/18/2021	2	Ariel Smith-Iyer	Appreciation for diversity; common interest in continuing to be a place for all in the neighborhood. Strawberry Creek Park is an important community meeting place; area surrounding the park, Corp Yard, and bowling green vacant lot should remain together to collectively decide the future of the space.	San Pablo to Sacramento; University Avenue to Dwight Way. Name provided: Poet's Corner	1302 Bancroft Way, 94702		TRASH COLLECTION; PARKS & RECREATION; OVER POPULATION; ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; DIVERSITY		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			
33	10/18/2021	2	No name	Traffic and speeding	West Berk Flat Lands between Sacramento & San Pablo.	2500 Bonar St, 94702	Incomplete boundaries; selected address at intersection of Dwight Way & Bonar Street.	TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; SERVICE ALLOCATION; TRAFFIC SAFETY		NO				

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes	
34	10/26/2021		No name	Communities of interest that previous cycles of redistricting have dismissed: 1. Prospect Street is a community of interest currently split between District 7 and District 8. The east side of Prospect is in District 8, and the west side is in District 7. Both sides of the street should be in the same district. Both sides of Prospect Street have more in common with Southside than with Panoramic Hill or Elmwood-Claremont. 7. Clark Kerr Campus is in District 8. The students who live there have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont. 8. Redwood Gardens, a senior housing facility located on the Clark Kerr Campus, has more in common with Elmwood-Claremont neighbors than with students and should be considered a community of interest separate from students' community of interest. 9. Faculty housing on Clark Kerr Campus is a community of interest that has more in common with Elmwood-Claremont than with students. 10. The blocks within Dwight-Waring-Derby-Telegraph have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont. 11. House and the student co-ops behind it are in District 8. The residents of these dorms have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont. 12. The blocks within Cedar-Oxford-Hearst-Arch are part of Northside and not split between District 5 and District 6. Northside should extend to Walnut, maybe even Shattuck. 13. The blocks within Sacramento-Ashby-California-border are in District 2. They should be in District 3. 14. The blocks within University-Acton-Allston-Sacramento are part of Poet's Corner, which is in District 2. 15. Part of Cragmont is in District 6, and part is in District 5. 16. The blocks within		2180 Milvia Street, 94704	Not specific to one address or area; used Civic Center address as a general location,	None		See map	See map				MAP
35	11/3/2021	1	Phil Allen	By the looks of things in my part of D-1, this is a townish and family (dwellings) area of the city. I see family activity and the retail and recreational which sustain them. There is no overt presence of UC students; they seem to be elsewhere. Retired friends gather here, internet junkies there. San Pablo Avenue provides a traditionally gritty 'home' to a constant presence of lost and forgotten citizen/ghosts and their movable social spots.	My 'felt' boundaries, running from close-by San Pablo/Delaware as center, are: Addison (south); 9th St. (west); Gilman (north), indeterminate (east). Names provided: Cutthroat Corner or Almost Oceanview	1740 San Pablo Avenue, 94702	Incomplete boundaries; selected intersection of San Pablo/Delaware.	RETURN TO PAST; HOMELESSNESS; MENTAL ILLNESS; ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; FORGOTTEN CITIZENS		NO					

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes	
36	11/6/2021	5	Barbara Ann Yoder	I am part of a vibrant neighborhood group established probably in the 1980s, when former fire chief Bill Brock and his wife initiated annual gatherings during National Night Out. For the last 13 years since I moved to Ada Street, our neighborhood group has worked together sharing safety concerns and looking out for each other. We currently have 65 households in our group. We are in touch via email. We meet annually. We know each other by name. We have a neighborhood earthquake cache and a neighbor on Ordway offers trainings. All of Ada Street below Sacramento should be in District 1, where we used to be. When the lines were redrawn, they went right down the middle of our street. As a neighborhood we are impacted by development plans at N. Berkeley BART, Ruth Acty School traffic and events, Cedar-Rose Park events, traffic on Hopkins and the Ohlone Greenway—all in District 1. We should be rejoined with District 1.	Our neighborhood group currently includes 65 homes along Ada Street from Ordway to Acton and about halfway up the next block toward Sacramento. It includes most homes on Ordway from Hopkins to Rose, several homes on Rose and on Hopkins that back to Ada between Ordway and Acton, and most homes on Acton from Hopkins to Ada. Everyone in these blocks are welcome in our group. When you redraw the lines, if a street needs to be split down the middle, it shouldn't be a quiet short street like Ada; it should be a busy through street with double yellow lines, like Hopkins from Ordway to Acton. Also, if Ordway between Hopkins and Ada is split down the middle, it too should be reincorporated into District 1.	1400 Ada St, 94702	Appended to COI Form #3 (submitter's first COI form)	NEIGHBORHOOD CONTINUITY; TRAFFIC SAFETY; BART; RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER		YES	REJOIN ADA ST TO DISTRICT 1				
37	11/8/2021	3	Carl McPherson	Students & Renters	There is considerable overlap between renters and students, and we are concentrated in the areas around Berkeley main campus and the two BART stations. As I look at the maps already submitted, I think that Alfred Twu's "Compact Donut" map does a good job of collecting the main student populations into 2 districts on the southside and the areas just west and north of the main campus. It's unfortunate that we don't have 9 districts to work with, as I think—for population balancing purposes—Alfred Twu's map is unable to extend far enough North-South along the Shattuck corridor or far enough south on the Telegraph corridor to create 3 renter/student districts (Southside, Northside and "Westside"). Stephen Young's excellent map (which has several nice innovations, including taking the hillier parts of current Districts 5 and 6 and combining them into a single district) creates a district for the	1947 Center Street, 94704	(Unclear geographic boundaries; selected 1947 Center Street as central location).	ADD ANOTHER STUDENT DISTRICT; UP-ZONING (HOUSING DIVERSITY); PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION		YES	STUDENT REPRESENTATION				

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
38	11/8/2021	4	David Ushijima	The community in this neighborhood is tied together not only by our geographical proximity and walkability of the neighborhood but our shared interest in many activities that are within walking distance in the nearby Downtown and Theatre districts. Also because of our close proximity to the University, we also share the common interests of cultural and intellectual events held on the UC Berkeley campus.	Dwight Way (south), University Avenue (north); MLK (east), Sacramento (west). Name provided: Spaulding-McGee tract. Please do not break up this community by drawing lines which would bisect the natural geographic boundaries of this community.	1700 Bancroft Way, 94703		CULTURAL ACCESS; RELATIONSHIP WITH UCB		YES	NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY DIST 4			
39	11/12/2021	4	Stephanie Allan	As a resident of the Flatlands since 1969, I have a strong interest in how District 4 is drawn or redrawn. When I first moved here, the neighborhood bounded by Shattuck/University/Sacramento/Dwight Way was primarily a working class area, predominantly white, but with some black families on Jefferson and Spaulding. It was a fairly tight community, located between the student/University area to the east, the historic black community to the west. There were lots of families here and a lot of kids. My son grew up & went to Washington school in this area. I worked hard to build a tot lot on Roosevelt and get a barrier at Channing & Roosevelt as well as a stop sign on McGee. (Pedestrian safety is still a major worry here, though) The housing used to be affordable. No longer, of course, like the rest of Berkeley. My neighborhood on Channing Way has been affected by the homeless crisis. Because we have a free box on Channing, between Roosevelt & McGee, we get a lot of homeless traffic from Downtown. Also, until we, reluctantly, agreed to have parking restrictions, the streets were jammed with UC students' parking. We supported making Channing Way a bike street although the condition of the road makes biking hazardous. The park area on the Ohlone strip was a great addition to the area. Aside from the park at Washington (where I participated in the redesign and addition of a regulation size basketball court when I was chair of the remodel committee) and the Tot Lot on Roosevelt, there isn't a lot of open space in our neighborhood. Civic Center Park was for so many years not a hospitable space. There is still a great deal of drug dealing going on there, probably migrating down from Shattuck. While much has changed, a lot hasn't. There's still a strong	University/Shattuck/Dwight Way/Sacramento Name provided: The Flatlands	1712 Channing Way, 94703		TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; PARKS & RECREATION; CRIME		YES	NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY DIST 4			
40	11/13/2021	2	No name	Strawberry Creek Park brings our neighbors together - park should be in one district with all of its surrounds.	Strawberry Creek	1260 Allston Way, 94702	Strawberry Creek address	STRAWBERRY PARK IN ONE DISTRICT; NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY		YES	NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY DIST 2			
41	11/14/2021	2	No name	Culture, history, community - preservation of those. Black Repertory Group has been a vital part of that for almost 60 years. Redistricting such that would exclude Black Repertory Group from district 2 will mean that Black Repertory group and the commitment BRG has to district 2 and the community has to BRG are not being recognized or considered. please keep Black Repertory Group in district 2	South Berkeley, Adeline Corridor Name provided: District 2	3201 Adeline St, 94703	Used Black Repertory Group address	BLACK CULTURAL COHESIVENESS/HISTORY		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			DISTRICT 2 OR 3?
42	11/14/2021	2	Monika Scott	I live and work in the Lorin District. The Lorin District 2 is historical African American community. I would like the community to remain unchanged and that Black Reperatory Group remain in the district.	The Lorin / District 2	3215 Adeline St, 94703	Address next to the Black Repertory Group	CULTURAL COHESIVENESS; MAINTAIN HISTORICAL BLACK COMMUNITIES; LORIN DISTRICT		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			DISTRICT 2 OR 3?

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
43	11/15/2021	3	No name	<p>Protect neighborhood resources of light, air, space, open areas, common spaces. Help neighbors and be vigilant for diverse communities who have been marginalized/victimized - particularly Muslim people, Asians and African Americans who reside in our immediate neighborhood. We live near/adjacent to Shattuck, with lots of traffic in and out of Berkeley and so we keep an eye out for each other.</p> <p>Socializing in the neighborhood is important - especially since the pandemic. Our door gatherings are now a thing. Watching our for children and making sure that traffic -vehicular and pedestrian- respect the ability of children to run around and play outside in a positive environment. Solar access for gardens and solar panels is a concern in our neighborhood in every house. Our western sky/space is especially important for the sunlight, air and views. The area is densely populated with small houses, apartments, coop houses and group living. With this density and close proximity people are very respectful.</p>	Walker Street has become our gathering spot - that runs between Derby and Ward that runs from Shattuck on the West to east of Fulton. We also have gatherings on Fulton with the blocks running East up towards Telegraph. Walker Street is a frequent name for our neighborhood.	2655 Shattuck Ave, 94704	Used intersection of Walker Street and Shattuck Avenue	NEIGHBORHOOD RESOURCES; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; MARGINALIZED COMMUNITY; RESOURCE EQUITY		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			
44	11/14/2021	3	C. Hutching	<p>Many African Americans (AA) moved to South Berkeley during WW2 to support the war effort. They were restricted from living in other parts of Berkeley due to redlining laws. Together, with other newcomers from Asia and Central America, they shaped the neighborhood into a thriving community which reflected their cultural, artistic, religious and political beliefs. Key tenets of our historical neighborhood are shared by my community members today. Those beliefs include, but are not limited to, respecting the civil rights for every citizen, housing rights for all, rights to jobs and a right to worship.</p> <p>The faith community is actively engaged in supporting the values upheld by my community and is represented with churches scattered around South Berkeley. For example, The Church by The Side of the Road located east of Shattuck on Russell St. is leading a consortium of church leaders in the mentoring of young people. The Ephesian Church, with the active support of community groups in this area, is committed to building low/low-income housing on its site. The Buddhist Temple on Russell is also engaged in service in the community and is a welcoming place to families and neighbors for outdoor weekend lunches. There are many places of interest and programs serving my community like Kiwi Pediatrics on</p>	<p>This area is known as South Berkeley. The current geographic location is Dwight Way on the North, Ellsworth on the East, 62nd on the South and Sacramento and California on South.</p> <p>The eastern swath of this area (from Dwight on the North going south on Ellsworth to Ashby and moving slightly westward at Deakin) should remain intact to represent the shared interests (stated above) of this community.</p> <p>Additionally, the southern swath of this District (going from Dwight on the North to 62nd on the South) is instrumental in reflecting the common shared interests of our community.</p> <p>Based on the maps that have been submitted to date, Howard Rosenberg's map looks the closest</p>	1730 Oregon St, 94703	Used Grove Park address	BLACK CULTURAL COHESIVENESS; FAITH COMMUNITY; TRANSPORTATION; MAINTAIN HISTORICALLY BLACK NEIGHBORHOOD; PUBLIC SAFETY; INDUSTRIAL POLLUTION		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			REFERENCE HOWARD ROSENBERG MAP

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
45	11/14/2021	1	Meryl Siegal	<p>There are several common interests in our community: we are a transit oriented community bounded by BART, AC Transit and cars looking for parking once BART depletes the number of spaces. It makes sense to sever the district at Sacramento Street since the communities East of Sacramento do not have the same interests, development and history as the communities West of Sacramento. It is a community of interest because it has a major street that runs all the way to the hills and down to the Bay, East to West. It is a community of interest because it includes a highway as a street (San Pablo Ave). Furthermore, the community is also one that experiences toxic fumes from industrial corporate concerns. Finally, it is a community of interest because several of the houses are still owned by people of color who were not allowed to buy homes east of Sacramento.</p> <p>The community houses several families. It really is a community about families, schools and play grounds. The community is a conduit for evacuation from the hills if</p>	<p>Geographically it is a community of interest because it includes the Berkeley Marina district and so should expand past University (not stop there as it does now). Geographically, the community is flat and down hill from the rest of Berkeley. The community is also an international community from the Brazilian cultural center, to Spanish table, to the Halal restaurants, our community is international and cosmopolitan.</p>	1529 San Pablo Ave, Berkeley, CA 94702	Used address central to current District 1 (intersection of San Pablo and Cedar)	TRANSPORTATION; INDUSTRIAL/ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION		YES	EXPAND DISTRICT			BNC REDISTRICTING MAP
46	11/15/2021	7	No name	<p>Renters; pedestrians, cyclists, and transit riders; students.</p>	<p>This is concentrated in the areas with a high density of renters, including Downtown, southside blocks south of the current District 7, Clark Kerr campus, and "northside" up to Virginia Street</p> <p>The renter community is overwhelmed in the current districting by being split among districts dominated by homeowners. Renters vote less frequently than homeowners as a community and are therefore further drowned out. We need an additional district that protects and represents the significant number of renters in the City, such as the donut district on the map proposed by Alfred Twu, one draft example attached.</p>	2355 Telegraph Ave, 94704	Incomplete boundaries provided; selected address at Durant/Telegraph	RENTERS/STUDENT COMMUNITY; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS		YES	ADD NEW DISTRICT			MAP ATTACHED

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes	
47	11/15/2021	4	Ben Gould	<p>1. Housing affordability; 2. Bike/pedestrian/transit access & safety; 3. Homelessness</p> <p>Downtown Berkeley is an incredibly diverse community of over 6,000 residents, 95% of whom are renters. Downtown is comprised of students, young professionals, immigrants, families, retirees, and long-time residents alike, including both housed and unhoused neighbors.</p> <p>Downtown has been historically considered "everyone's neighborhood" because of the diverse commercial and leisure activities and the access to transit and major institutions (UC Berkeley, LBNL, City of Berkeley). However, for the thousands of people who call Downtown home on a daily basis, it is also a residential community, where we need to be able to get home safely and comfortably at night, have non-automotive transportation options that make it easy to get to our destinations, and have enough housing options and tenant protections to keep rent affordable. City Council has historically neglected the residential experience in Downtown Berkeley.</p> <p>Downtown Berkeley is most similar to the mixed-use and medium density neighborhoods immediately north and south along Shattuck Avenue. Because the most unifying experience of living in Downtown is "renters who don't have cars", other neighborhoods with high concentrations of renters who don't have cars are particularly good matches for joining into a Council district. Other campus periphery areas, such as Northside or Southside, are good candidates.</p>	Hearst to the north; Oxford/Fulton to the east; Dwight to the south; MLK to the west. Name provided: Downtown Berkeley	2272 Shattuck Ave, 94704		TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS; HOUSING AFFORDABILITY; TRANSIT ACCESS; TRANSPORTATION; RENTER/ STUDENT REPRESENTATION		YES	ADD NEW RENTER/STUDENT DISTRICT				ALFRED TWU MA
48	11/15/2021	3	Berkeley Branch of the NAACP	<p>Berkeley's Black community; churches, businesses, homeowners and tenants in primarily South Berkeley's area which now falls into "District 3." History and ancestors in common; many of us moved in the migration west from the Deep South after slavery and Jim Crow, many of us share a deep and abiding faith in God (Black Christian Churches are historic pillars of the city for a hundred years: Church by the Side of the Road, McGee Ave Baptist Church, St. Paul's AME Church, Ephesians Church, Phillips AME, etc).</p> <p>Black academics, artists and activists are a core part of our community; housing should be available to ensure Black members thrive in our historic district that should be deemed the Black Community Historical Zone. The Adeline Corridor and So Berkeley needs to not be further displaced or diluted. Berkeley NAACP, BEEMA and other Black organizations in Berkeley strongly urge that District 3 remain without alteration. Specifically: McGee Ave Baptist Church should not be placed into District, 2, nor should Church by Side of Road be</p>	Current District 3	1730 Oregon St, 94703	Used Grove Park address for pin	HISTORICAL BLACK/MUSLIM NEIGHBORHOOD; FAITH BASED COMMUNITY; ACCESS		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT 3				MAP ATTACHED

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
49	11/15/2021	1	No name	Working-class neighborhood, which includes many Craftsman-style homes (and a few Victorians), built by blue-collar workers for their families. It also retains a - albeit diminishing - level of racial and socioeconomic diversity as one of the only neighborhood in which restrictive covenants were not placed on housing (as a formerly redlined area). This area bounds the MU-R and MU-LI areas, and neighborhoods have striven to coexist with industry that would now be deemed to be incompatible with residential - and has embraced the ecosystem of small manufacturing, arts, and crafts businesses, some of whose owners reside in live-work units in the neighborhood.	The Oceanview District is roughly bounded by San Pablo on the East Side, the waterfront on the West Side, Gilman St. on the North Side, and University Ave. on the South Side. However, our community arguably has more in common with the entire area below San Pablo than other neighborhoods. We certainly have more in common with other areas below Sacramento (roughly bounded by the North Berkeley BART) than areas to the east of Sacramento. Name provided: Oceanview District For your consideration, a West Berkeley Business District oriented map proposal has been created (most districts except 2 are within less than 1% of the threshold for compactness, the least compact district is D6 and it's within 3.6%).	1720 Eighth St, 94710	Used James Kenney Community Center	SINGLE FAMILY ZONING; LOCAL/SMALL BUSINESSES; WORKING CLASS NEIGHBORHOOD; HOUSING DENSITY; MANUFACTURING/ARTS & CRAFTS; LIVE/WORK UNITS; LIGHT INDUSTRIAL; COMMON CULTURE		YES	MAINTAIN OCEANVIEW DISTRICT			MAP ATTACHED
50	11/15/2021	4	Wendy Alfsen & Nancy Holland	Geographic, historical, economic, cultural, and racial/ethnic diversity interests; common intrests in quiet with less noise, less litter, less flooding, less air pollution, fewer vehicles, improved traffic safety, reduction of danger from cut-through & commute traffic; religious centers.	See map attachment to COI form Name provided: Greater Flatlands	1607 Bancroft Way, 94703	Used intersection of McGee Avenue & Bancroft Way.	MAJOR TRAFFIC ARTERY; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; DENSITY; TRAFFIC		YES	RECONFIGURE DISTRICT			MAP INCLUDED
51	11/16/2021	3	No name	Sun, air, and space are resources we want to protect. We have just enough space, and we get along well and watch out for each other. This is important because we have small children, senior citizens and members of marginalized communities that have seen a lot of hatred: Muslims, Asian, and African American. We keep an eye out for our neighbors and have a history of showing up, in person to take care of mutual concerns. We love the sound of children playing outside, and during the pandemic our outside space on Walker Street became the focus of neighborhood out door gatherings. These gatherings were about the only "socializing" any of us did for a year.	Shattuck to the west. Comprises Derby and Ward all the way past Fulton. Name provided: Walker Street / Le Conte	2108 Derby St, 94705	Used intersection of Derby Street & Walker Street.	INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; HOUSING DENSITY; HOMELESSNESS; ECONOMIC/RACIAL DIVERSITY		YES	KEEP NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES-DISTRICT 3			

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
52	11/16/2021	1	Afi Kambon for Berkeley Visionary Equity Summit Alliance	Historically Black community. A place of inclusion, tolerance, and caring; youth and elders connecting; affordable housing and a fair and inclusive approach to development that benefits low-income residents including a right of return for those displaced or unhoused, and safe community policing.	Maintain current District 1 boundaries; at least as far east as Sacramento Street, the former "color line."	1531 San Pablo Ave, 94702	Intersection of Cedar & San Pablo.	HISTORICAL BLACK NEIGHBORHOOD; AFFORDABLE HOUSING; LOW INCOME RESIDENCE; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; SENIOR RESOURCE EQUITY; CRIME/POLICING SHELTERS; DIVERSITY; DISTRICT INEQUITY; HOMELESSNESS; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; AFFORDABLE HOUSING; DISPLACEMENT OF COMMUNITIES OF COLOR		YES	KEEP BOUNDARIES			
53	11/16/2021	2	Betsy Morris	I am a 30 year old resident of historic West Berkeley (split between District 1 and 2), and a current member of the Poet's Corner Advocates for the Unhoused and the Berkeley Visionary Equity Alliance. We want an inclusive community, and are working with existing institutions like Women's Day Time Drop In Center and Youth Spirit Artworks to recognize the massive displacement of the Black and Hispanic community members. We support a variety of truly affordable housing. strategies to reintegrate, including "good neighbor" shelters, tiny home villages, and safe parking lots while more affordable housing can be built.	Current boundaries work well (University, Sacramento, and Dwight Way). Strawberry Creek Park neighborhood with Berkeley Youth Alternatives, the park, the corp yard, Daytime Drop In Center and Strawberry Creek Lodge, Berkeley Youth Alternatives, belong in District 2. West Berkeley from south of University Avenue, to the Marina, Aquatic Park and east to Sacramento Street (the old de facto "color line" reflect. I am suprised to see the decline of West Berkeley and the Oceanview Neighborhood in favor of "South West Berkeley" and Northwest Berkeley." San Pablo Park was/is a distinctive neighborhood. The displacement of Black neighbors is striking west of San Pablo - the current census map looks scrubbed.	2246 San Pablo Ave, 94702	Used intersection of San Pablo Avenue & Bancroft Way	HISTORICAL BLACK NEIGHBORHOOD; AFFORDABLE HOUSING; LOW INCOME RESIDENCE; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; SENIOR RESOURCE EQUITY; CRIME/POLICING SHELTERS; DIVERSITY; DISTRICT INEQUITY; HOMELESSNESS; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; AFFORDABLE HOUSING; DISPLACEMENT OF COMMUNITIES OF COLOR		YES	MAINTAIN CURRENT BOUNDARIES			
54	11/24/2021	4		As a result of the last redistricting, Spruce and Arch Streets were split down the middle. Those who lived on the westside of the street were put into District 4, while those who lived on the East side of the street remained in District 6. Before, when we had common problems, we could go to our District 6 representative. Now, while	Lower Spruce area including Spruce Street and Arch Street			TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; LIGHTING; CRIME; TRASH-PICK; WATER RUN-OFF; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION		YES	Yes, District 6 should continue south to Hearst and west to Oxford, instead of a little chunk being taken out and added to District 4. Fix what			
55	11/25/2021	4			Cedar to the North, Hearst to the South, Oxford to the West and Euclid to the East			NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS; OWNER-OCCUPIED SINGLE FAMILY HOMES GROUPED TOGETHER; SMALL ADJUSTMENTS TO THE EXISTING DISTRICT MAP; RETIRED POPULATION; YOUNG FAMILIES; HOMEOWNER / LONG-TERM RESIDENTS VS STUDENT CONSTITUENCY		YES	Yes, Move from District 4 to District 6 (unite with the rest of homeowners neighbors. Want south side of Virginia Street added to District 6); "Our neighborhood is roughly bordered by Cedar to the North, Hearst to the South, Oxford to the West and Euclid to the East. Currently our neighborhood is divided into at least three separate districts."			

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
56	11/29/2021	8			Willard Neighborhood - Ashby to Dwight and Telegraph to College Avenue			NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS		YES	Yes, WANTS ALL OF WILLARD NEIGHBORHOOD TO BE IN DISTRICT 8; "The Willard neighborhood runs from Ashby to Dwight and from Telegraph to College. To me it makes common sense that a given neighborhood is within one voting district"			
57	1/11/2022	1	Anonymous	Parks. Walkways and parks are important to our community. They need to be neat, clean, well kept and most of all safe. We want to see homeless cared for properly for and not living on the street, in tents, on park benches or in inoperable RVs or vans for days on end.	We are on Virginia St near Cedar Rose Park and the walkway that replaced the old Southern Pacific right of way. We would consider our area to extend to the North to shops on Hopkins, West to San Pablo and East to BART and on to Shattuck			PARK/RECREATION; WALKABILITY; HOMELESSNESS; SAFETY; BART STATION; NEW CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	N	YES	NO			
58	1/11/2022	1,5	Anonymous	Long time residents, with a mix of renters and homeowners. Close to a small shopping village. Walkability. Friendly, neighborly with an annual block party. Until recently, this was an affordable neighborhood with neighbors that have a variety of income levels	Westbrae			RENTER AND HOMEOWNER INTERESTS; WALKABILITY; NEIGHBORLINESS; AFFORDABILITY VS INCREASED HOUSING PRICES	N	YES	NO			
59	1/11/2022	5	Alan Tobey	Aged largely middle class but not more than c. 2/3 Caucasian; but across those lines very communitycentered and community active, working on local causes together and keeping in touch with others. We've had a number of new residents arriving from the suburbs just to live in such an active and diverse neighborhood. New residents from Kansas to Thailand to India are swiftly welcome here	Walkable Westbrae. You could stretch the boundaries from say Monterey Market to Whole Foods, and from the Albany line a few blocks			COMMUNITY CENTERED; WALKABILITY; THRIVING LOCAL BUSINESS SCENE; TRAFFIC CONCERNS	N	YES	NO			
60	1/11/2022	1	Anonymous	More industrial pollutants here than any other Berkeley district. Lower income than rest of Berkeley/ greater need for social services. Greatest number of tent/rv cities in Berkeley.	Northwest Berkeley; we tend to identify with Northwest Berkeley BART station.			POLLUTION; SOCIAL SERVICES NEEDS; LOW INCOME; HOMELESSNESS; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION	N	YES	NO			

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
61	1/11/2022	5	Cathy Brown	We are a neighborhood, linked by proximity, friendship, and our CERT group. Most of the neighbors have lived here for more than 25 years; some much longer. People know each other, look out for one another, share keys, newspapers, tools, lemons, and kid and elder equipment. The neighborhood kids go to Berkeley public schools; we're 6 blocks from Park Avenue and 2 blocks from...	Generally, the 1500 block of McGee and the 1700 block of Vine. Vine/McGee Neighbors.			LONG TERM RESIDENTS; NEIGHBORLINESS; BERKELEY PUBLIC SCHOOL STUDENTS; WALKABILITY; ROADWAY CONDITIONS	N	YES	NO			
62	1/11/2022	1,5	Deborah Malbec	neighborliness, taking care of our homes, crime prevention, safety, small neighborhood stores, businesses and restaurants.	Westbrae, "Gilman District", East of San Pablo, near Gilman Street			NEIGHBORLINESS; CRIME PREVENTION; SAFETY; LOCAL BUSINESS AND RESTAURANTS; PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION; LAST MILE NEEDS	N	YES	NO			
63	1/13/2022	1	No name	Walking to local restaurants, post office, parks, library, shops. This is extremely important, that's why we chose this area.	North of University and east of San Pablo. People refer to this area as Poets Corner but it is not. I've seen it referred to as Curtis Tract on official city plans. I think this district should span University Ave.									
64	1/14/2022	1	No name	Living within walking distance of North Berkeley BART. This is important because drivers, cyclists, and pedestrians have different interests. Also, our community has many members in "regular" jobs (teaching, retail, government, transportation) versus some of Berkeley where residents are uniformly in higher income jobs.	Name provided: West Berkeley West of Sacramento, North of University, South of Gilman									
65	1/14/2022	1	Iris Starr	A wide range of people living here- students, elderly, families, homeowners, renters, unhoused, Black, Native American, Asian, Latino and all other groups that are not "census defined". Access to transit, cafe's, restaurants, grocery stores, services. Walkable and bike-able neighborhood. On street parking for residents. Decisions about the BART station development are key in the coming year. Those decisions should be majorly influenced by those that will live next door to it, and not those who drive to it. Enlarging District 5 will disadvantage those of us who live next door. Some people are writing in form letters to enlarge District 5. Please ignore form letters. Create districts that make geographic sense. On the north, Rose or Hopkins is the obvious boundary.	Rose or Hopkins street, Shattuck Ave, University Avenue, the Marina. ~2 blocks from the BART station.									

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
66	1/16/2022	2	Jeff Hobson	The parks and paths: Strawberry Creek Park + the West Street path, Ohlone Park and paths, Cedar Rose Park, plus Totland. That's where I see my neighbors (particularly during COVID), where I see kids playing, where I see people walking their dogs.	I live in the neighborhood around the North Berkeley BART station. To me, the most central elements are North Berkeley BART, the path along West Street, Strawberry Creek Park, and Cedar Rose Park. My conception of the neighborhood extends south to Dwight (+ Homemade Cafe!) and north to Hopkins. On the west it goes at least to San Pablo Avenue, or maybe all the way to the railroad tracks (to include Rosa Parks + the Adult School and Cafe Leila and Viks -- but it doesn't include the Fourth Street shopping district). On the east it goes at least to California (Monterey Market + the softball field next to the BART station), or maybe as far as MLK Jr Way (for Totland, the rest of Ohlone Park, and King Middle School).									
67	1/17/2022	1	No name	Living so close to the North Berkeley BART station, I see that as a focal point for the community, and something that priorities and interests are organized around. Those who walk or bike to that BART station, and are invested and impacted by it as a use, definitely feel like one economic community. One of the biggest factors for lifestyle in Berkeley is whether you live in a walkable/bikable neighborhood in the flats, or in a driving neighborhood in the hills. Those are two very distinct lifestyles and interests, and residents of each lifestyle should be able to elect councilmembers that advocate for those interests and needs.	Gilman/Hopkins in the north (Monterrey Market, Gioia Pizza, and the swimming pool at King Middle being key parts of my community), San Pablo to the west (Casa Latina, Cafe Leila, and Acme being core parts of what I access), MLK to the east (Ohlone Park is important to me), and Allston to the south (I take Allston to get to Aquatic Park and Cesar Chavez park). I think of the BART station as a central organizing feature of my neighborhood, as well as the Ohlone Greenway. Urban Adamah and the Gilman Corridor is also an important part of my community, and something I access often.									

If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
68	1/17/2022	1	Blaine Merker	<p>The North Berkeley Bart station is the single biggest defining feature of my neighborhood, and the landmark used to convey the neighborhood within a 15-20 minutes walk of the station.</p> <p>It's very important to our neighborhood's identity that the area around the Bart station not be split into different districts. The walkshed/bikeshed around the station has build that community identity. Those who walk and bike to the station see the same people people (our neighbors who walk and bike) daily, exchange news and create community. Because of the Ohlone Greenway in particular, which acts as a feeder from the blocks to the north, there is a strong culture of people who walk or bike to transit. Many people I know moved to this neighborhood in order to drive less. This an "eco neighborhood" of people making transportation choices in line with their sustainability objectives.</p>	<p>The area is described as the "neighborhood around the North Berkeley Bart station", or just "North Berkeley Bart". Because the station is named "North Berkeley", many people around here use that name to refer to the area around the station rather than the wider part of Berkeley towards Hopkins and Gilman. The use of this station version of the name usually refers to the area between San Pablo Ave, Martin Luther King, Hopkins and University. Because the Ohlone Greenway (and Ohlone Park) transport people by foot and bike to the Bart station, many Bart commuters who use the station consider themselves affiliated with "North Berkeley" by virtue of the station they use.</p>									
69	1/17/2022	1	Riti Dhesi	<p>Common means of transportation, most notably the ability to walk to North Berkeley BART and bike to various places. I believe that when developing the council districts, North Berkeley BART and ones proximity to it should be considered a factor. Another common interest in my community is that we live near Cedar Market and Monterey Market/Magninis etc.</p> <p>Another example of community is the night that my community puts out lights for our annual Luminaria night (Christmas eve) - people from all over the city come to walk the streets in this community that are bounded by MLK, University, Rose and Sacramento (or North Berkeley BART) to enjoy the luminaria's and the community that we have built over the years.</p>	<p>Name provided: North Berkeley BART East.</p> <p>Boundaries: Berkeley BART to Rose Street to MLK to University.</p>									


If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
70	1/17/2022	1	Libby Lee-Egan North Berkeley Now!	<p>Many people chose to live in this neighborhood because of the walkable streets and proximity to buses and the North Berkeley BART station (NBB). We enjoy walking or biking to places and patronizing local businesses. Our neighborhood has a grid, not wavy switchback style streets seen in the Berkeley Hills.</p> <p>My community and its concerns/interests are unique from that of the Berkeley Hills/Thousand Oaks neighborhoods. It is important to NOT lump us in with the Berkeley Hills or Thousands Oaks neighborhoods.</p>	The attached map shows the area around North Berkeley BART where one can walk to the station from their home in less than 10 minutes. Residents living in that area are most affected by regional usage of BART and zoning changes there. Because of the grid, the walkable area is more of a diamond shape than a square.									
71	1/18/2022	1	Victoria Eisen	Our community is WALKABLE! We walk to run errands, go to school and work, visit each other and exercise our dogs and ourselves. Most importantly, we can walk to the North Berkeley BART station, which is why we chose to purchase our home 28 years ago.	Sacramento and the North Berkeley BART station to the east, Ohlone Greenway to the south, MLK to the east and Rose to the north.									
72	1/18/2022	1	Will Travis	Books, gardening, political discussions, "the joy of engaging with our neighbors during our walks in our community". Our two most popular walking destinations are the North Berkeley BART station and the neighborhood commercial center at the Hopkins/California/Monterey intersection. Everywhere within our community is within walking distance of its hub, the North Berkeley BART station.	Name provided: Cow Hollow Bordered on the north by Northbrae, east by Shattuck Avenue, east by the Ohlone Greenway with a little bump to University Avenue along Sacramento Street and on the west by San Pablo Avenue.									

Map Identification																Mapping Request(s)	DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Cx as directives to staff in creation of maps)	Public Communications Related to Submitted Map <i>Staff will populate using COI, public comment, and public communication submissions.</i> (Ex: at meetings, emails, etc.)	Population Lowest Deviation	Population Highest Deviation	Population Total Deviation	Contiguity	Does it contradict Communities of Interest reported or understood by Commission? Cross-reference to COI number.	Utilizes Easily Understood Boundaries (Ex: Major Traffic Arterials and Geographic Boundaries Consistent with Communities of Interest)	District Compactness	Topography Considered (Ex: Flatlands, Foothills, Hills, ft above sea level. If fairly similar to existing map, we assume that to be a "Yes")	Geography Considered (Ex: freestations, libraries, schools, parks, lakes)	Integrity & Cohesiveness	Summary of Major Boundary Change(s) Requested	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
1	01_2021-10-08 Howard Rosenberg	7,8	Please include in dist. 8 this small area that was carved out and placed in dist. 7 to include former home of K. Worthington when he was in office.	Neighborhood Cohesion and Compactness Maptitude submission does not reflect the narrative. Map is unchanged.		-5.95%	6.96%	13%	Yes		Yes. Uses Telegraph Avenue as boundary	Yes	Yes, uses existing map	Yes, uses existing map	Yes			
2	02_2021-10-12 Anonymous A	All	Re: Northside population #s - There is no way this is correct. Pop in Northside is at least 7000	Major reconfigurations of all districts		-13.51%	18.08%	32%	Yes		No	No	No, splits hills amongst different districts	No, splits Cal campus in half.	No			
3	03_2021-10-19 Anonymous B-1	All	None	Major reconfiguration of Districts 4 through 7; creation of two student districts (Dist 4 and 7); changes Dist 4 and 7 to E-W orientation; removes thousand oaks from Dist 5, combines DT and University, decreases Dist 7 and limits to area south of Campus		-9.74%	10.74%	20%	Yes		No	No	No, did not include topography to draw boundaries		No			
4	04_2021-10-19 Anonymous B-2	All	None	Creates two student Districts(4/7); major modifications to 3,5,6; improves overall neighborhood integrity	None	-24.85%	21.99%	47%	Yes, except for District 8	Yes, except major modifications to Dist 4/7	Yes	Yes 4/7; No 8	No	No	Yes, respect neighborhood boundaries	Expansion of Dist 4/8; consolidates Dist 7; Moves Northern portion of 5 into 6		
5	05_2021-10-19 Anonymous B-3	All	None	Creation of two student districts; major changes to district 5/6; reconfigures 5 to include North student population from Dist 6/4	None	-5.24%	5.75%	11%	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No			
6	06_2021-10-25 Anonymous B-4	All	None	Creation of two student districts; major changes to district 5/6; reconfigures 5 to include North student population from Dist 6/4		-4.36%	5.75%	10%	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No			
7	07_2021-10-26 Troy Kaji	All	Map configured to equalize population target size and provided contiguity among districts.	Districts renumbered. Creates one district for western portion of the City.		-38.27%	14.77%	53%	Yes	Yes. Proposed map is inconsistent with COI requests to maintain existing District 1 (COI #49 and 52) and 2 (COI #27-29, 31, 32, 41, 42).	No	No. Southern boundary of proposed District 6 and portion of proposed District 5 do not utilize major traffic arterials. Portion of northern boundary of proposed District 8 does not utilize major traffic arterial.	Yes, generally.	No. Topography not considered for proposed modifications to existing District 5 and 6.			No. Splits many neighborhoods (South Hampton, Westbrae, Downtown, Poets Corner, South Berkeley, Le Conte, and Panoramic Hill)	
8	08_2021-10-28 Alfred Twu Map 1	All	Keep southside as an Asian-plurality student super majority district. Group other blocks close to the campus that are mostly renters in the Donut center supermajority district. Keep Black community together with Adeline Corridor / San Pablo Park area. Keep the lower housing density Hills together. Unify West Berkeley including 4th Street, most of San Pablo Avenue, and western part of University Avenue.	Two student districts. Creates non-contiguous "donut" district for south campus population and irregular-shape district north, west, and south of the UC Berkeley campus.		-1.00%	1.01%	2%	No	Yes. Inconsistent with COI requests to move Ada street area (COI #3, 4, and 6) from District 5 to District 1. Inconsistent with COI requests to maintain existing District 1 (COI # 49 and 52), maintain District 2 (COI # 27-29, 31, 32, 41, 42 and 53), District 3 (COI #21 and 51). Inconsistent with COI requests to move lower Spruce Street area to District 6 from District 4 (COI #54 and 55)	No	No	No	No	No			No. Splits many neighborhoods (Berkeley Hills, Live Oak, Westbrae, North Berkeley, Northside, Gourmet Ghetto, Downtown, West Berkeley, South Berkeley, Poets Corner, LeConte, and Elmwood).
9	09_2021-10-31 Alfred Twu Map 2	All	This is a variant of the Donut plan, which creates more compact borders. The Southside district includes the Big Southside dorms, including the Units and Clark Kerr, as well as more of the area around Telegraph Ave.	Two student districts. Creates non-contiguous "donut" district for south campus population and irregular-shape district north, west, and south of the UC Berkeley campus.		-1.00%	0.53%	2%	Yes	Yes. Inconsistent with COI requests to move Ada street area (COI #3, 4, and 6) from District 5 to District 1. Inconsistent with COI requests to maintain existing District 1 (COI # 49 and 52), maintain District 2 (COI # 27-29, 31, 32, 41, 42 and 53), District 3 (COI #21 and 51). Inconsistent with COI requests to move lower Spruce Street area to District 6 from District 4 (COI #54 and 55)	No	No	No	No	No			No. Splits many neighborhoods (Live Oak, Westbrae, West Berkeley, South Berkeley, Downtown, and Berkeley Hills).
10	10_2021-11-01 Stephen Young	All (least impact to 1/2; most impact to 5/6)	This proposal unites all of hilly North Berkeley in district 5, keeps 1 and 2 more or less the same, with the principal division being University Avenue, and 7 more compact while keeping its community of interest. District 5 is partially reconfigured to capture the Northside renting community of interest. There are consequent modifications to 3 and 4 for population equality. Otherwise, the plan complies with contiguity and precinct assignment requirements.	Realigns principle boundary between districts 5/6 from north/south to east/west. Minor changes elsewhere, relative to existing boundaries.		-0.23%	0.20%	0%	Yes	Yes. Inconsistent with COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6). Also inconsistent with COI #29 (10/17) which describes a COI extending from sacramento to san pablo as far south as san pablo park	Yes, partially.	Yes, reasonably.	Yes	No, not in any obvious way.	no			
11	11_2021-11-02 Anonymous B-5	All	2 Student Districts	Creates two student districts (4 and 7). Expands District 4 to eastern city limits and splits downtown among two reconfigured districts (4 and 5). Adds Thousand Oaks neighborhood to reconfigured District 6. Adds Northbrae to reconfigure District 1. Splits North Berkeley, Berkeley Hills, Central Berkeley, South Berkeley, LeConte, Elmwood, and Panoramic Hill neighborhoods.		-15.37%	16.57%	32%	Yes	Yes. Contradicts COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6). Contradicts COI #54 & 55 which requests that District 6 boundaries be extended south to Hearst and west to Oxford and east to Euclid to remove this area from District 4 and recreate the boundary configuration to what it was prior to 2010 Census.	No. Utilizes low volume roadways to create new boundaries for Districts 3, 5, and 8	No. Proposes irregular boundaries for District 5 (southeast), District 4 (north and south), and District 8 (north)	No. Proposed Districts 4 and 5 boundaries do not reflect existing topography.	No, not in any obvious way.	No. Splits many neighborhoods.			
12	12_2021-11-04 Phil Allen	All	All 8 districts are renumbered and have east-west orientation. See submitted PDF for full narrative.	Proposed district configuration reflects generally much longer northern and southern boundaries and shorter western and eastern boundaries relative to current boundaries.		-9.03%	5.96%	15%	Yes	Yes. Proposed map is not consistent with numerous COI requests to maintain existing district boundaries. Not consistent with COI requests to maintain District 1 boundaries (COI #49 and 52), maintain District 2 boundaries (COI #27-29, 31, 32, 41, 42 and 53), maintain District 3 boundaries (COI #43, 44, 48, and 51). Not consistent with COI #56 to include Willard neighborhood in one district.	Yes, generally. Except for southern boundary of proposed District 1 (located in current Districts 5 & 6).	No. Many irregular boundaries with jogs.	No. Topography not used as a basis to draw proposed boundaries.	No. Neighborhood boundaries and amenities not used as basis to draw proposed district boundaries.	No. Splits many neighborhoods.			
13	13_2021-11-06 Bruce Stangeland	All	Here's my attempt to redraw our 8 districts. My maximum population = 16000 and my minimum is 15,200. My intent was to minimize the length of the boundary of each district, so as to not gerrymander.	Does not appear to focus on COI type criteria; rather boundaries are chosen for maximal compactness, utilizing major arteries when possible.		-3.97%	5.73%	10%	yes	Yes. Inconsistent with #55 (11/25) which requests the area bounded by cedar/hearst/oxford/euclid be maintained within a district	Yes, generally.	yes	yes	No, not in any obvious way.	no			
14	14_2021-11-06 Thomas Lord	All (minor changes)	This map tries not to deviate radically from existing districts while, at the same time, trying to increase the diversity of interests each council member must represent. For example, this map gives both the representatives from District 1 and District 4 a stake in Ohlone Park. This map gives District 6 a larger stake in the interests of students. I have not adhered strictly to the traditional use of Sacramento St. as a district boundary for similar reasons. Perfect racial balance equality is geographically impossible in any set of compact districts at this time but I have tried to improve the balance. I am not sure I'm satisfied with the boundaries between district 1 and 2 which I changed only minimally - but I left them as is for lack of deep familiarity with the area. The population size balances are all very close to ideal.	Per the narrative, this map seeks to maximize within-district diversity of constituents for each district. This is contrary to various requests to maintain COIs.		-0.50%	0.26%	1%	yes	Yes. The map preserves the use of Ada street as a boundary between 1 & 5	Yes, generally.	Yes, relatively few deviations from current map	Yes	No, not in any obvious way.	no			
15	15_2021-11-06 Lissa Miner	All (minor changes to 1/2)	Equitable representation by population. Districts and council members will need to collaborate as each district has multiple types of housing and neighborhoods and geography, except perhaps for District 7 that has dense student population.	reorients boundary between 4/3 from east/west to north south, creating less contiguous districts		-1.79%	2.18%	4%	Yes, but reduced relative to current map.	Yes. Inconsistent with COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6)	Yes, generally.	Yes, reduced relative to current map	yes	No, not in any obvious way.	no			

Map Identification																	Mapping Request(s)	DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Cx as directives to staff in creation of maps)	Public Communications Related to Submitted Map <i>Staff will populate using COI, public comment, and public communication submissions.</i> (Ex: at meetings, emails, etc.)	Population Lowest Deviation	Population Highest Deviation	Population Total Deviation	Contiguity	Does it contradict Communities of Interest reported or understood by Commission? Cross-reference to COI number.	Utilizes Easily Understood Boundaries (Ex: Major Traffic Arterials and Geographic Boundaries Consistent with Communities of Interest)	District Compactness	Topography Considered (Ex: Flatlands, Foothills, Hills, Ft above sea level. If fairly similar to existing map, we assume that to be a "Yes")	Geography Considered (Ex: freestations, libraries, schools, parks, lakes)	Integrity & Cohesiveness	Summary of Major Boundary Change(s) Requested	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision	
16	16_2021-11-11 Berkeley Progressive Alliance	All	The map ensures the integrity of the following communities of interest: Districts 2 and 3 include South Berkeleys historically African American neighborhoods, and include the following communities: San Pablo Park, West Berkeley, the Adeline Corridor, Lorin, LeConte and Bateman District 1 encompasses Northwest Berkeleys Gilman, 4th Street, and North Berkeley communities. District 4 has of Central Berkeleys McGee Spaulding, North Shattuck and Downtown communities District 7 restores Berkeleys traditional student district including the predominantly student parts of the Northside District 8 has Southeast Berkeleys Panoramic Hill, Elmwood and Claremont neighborhoods District 5 includes Central North Berkeleys Westbrae, Northbrae, Live Oak, Thousand Oaks, and Solano District communities. District 6 has Northeast Berkeley's South Hampton, Cragmont, Northside, Terrace View and Hills communities.	Neighborhood integrity		-2.39%	2.36%	5%	Yes	Yes. Splits Poets Corner	No	Yes		Yes					
17	17_2021-11-12 BNC (Janis Ching)	All	This map is being submitted by Berkeley Neighborhoods Council, using input from many neighborhood groups across the city. Considerations: 1. Keep neighborhoods together as much as possible. 2. Combine the Northside and Southside student housing units to create a more unified student district. 3. Keep the blocks surrounding the North Berkeley BART station in one district as they share interests in upcoming development. 4. Keep the Shattuck business district together from Downtown to at least Cedar St. 5. Dwight Way serves as the natural border for District 3, and should continue to do so, as the neighbors to the south of Dwight continue to fight for equity in terms of resources. 6. The LeConte Neighborhood has been split for decades. We put them back together in District 3. 7. The McGee-Spaulding Tract has historical significance and should be kept together. Its borders are Dwight Way to University, Sacramento to MLK. 8. Spruce Santa Barbara are natural borders for District 5. Neighborhoods there are less cohesive. 9. Include Panoramic Hill in District 8 to keep the high fire zones together.	See description		-3.61%	4.38%	8%	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes, partially.	Yes					
18	18_2021-11-12 Sheryl Drinkwater	1,2,3,4,5,6	I am a district 2 resident. just got into the numbers. District 3, I learned is pretty dense. My goal was to get deviation in West Berkeley to 1%.	Marginal changes to current map		-4.78%	6.96%	12%	No, appears to have some type of island in DS	Yes. Inconsistent with COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6)	Yes, generally.	Yes	yes	no					
19	19_2021-11-14 Alfred Twu Map 3		Inspired by Berkeley's creek watersheds, with some modifying to create reasonable compact and equal population districts. The parts of Berkeley expected to grow more in the next 10 years, such as the Upper Strawberry district which includes downtown, are drawn with less population, while those that are expected to grow slower, such as Codornices-Blackberry, are drawn with more.	Two student districts.		-3.91%	4.33%	8%	Yes	Yes. Proposed map is not consistent with numerous COI requests to maintain existing district boundaries. Not consistent with COI requests to maintain District 1 boundaries (COI #49 and 52), maintain District 2 boundaries (COI #27-29,31, 32, 41, 42 and 53), maintain District 3 boundaries (COI #43, 44, 48, and 51). Inconsistent with COI requests to move lower Spruce Street area to District 6 from District 4 (COI #54 and 55)	No. Did not use major arterials to form boundaries for proposed Districts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.	No	No	Yes	No. Splits many neighborhoods (Live Oak, North Berkeley, West Berkeley, Downtown, South Berkeley, and LeConte).				
20	20_2021-11-14 Ben Gould	All	I tried to balance three goals. In order: 1. Keep communities together. Reunite neighborhoods divided by existing lines 2. Keep business districts corridors, especially local area plans, together 3. Use major roads as borders. Within a district, minimize deviations from a given border. Population difference, at 8.9%, meets the 10% requirement, but was otherwise considered less important than the goals above. Anticipated growth 10k people will dramatically outweigh initial differences. In this map, District 4 groups together the student- and renter-heavy neighborhoods in Downtown, north Shattuck, Northside, and Foothill Panoramic Hill. District 6 unifies the fire-prone hills, while 5 encompasses commercial areas on North Shattuck, Hopkins, and Solano. District 1 unites Ohlone Greenway and Central Berkeley neighborhoods, while keeping NBB and northwest industrial areas. District 2 gets consistent borders. 3 retains its general shape. 7 focuses more tightly on Southside, while 8 takes remaining students south of Dwight. Strictly necessary population balancing accounts for all boundary deviations.	changes 5/6 boundary from North/South to diagonal. "Rotates" districts 6/5/1/4 in a counterclockwise fashion. Appears to create 2 student districts, although this is not described in the narrative. District 1 is unnecessarily noncompact.		-2.73%	6.17%	9%	yes	Yes. Inconsistent with COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6)	Yes, generally.	No, D1 is problematic	yes	No, not in any obvious way.	no				
21	21_2021-11-15 Anonymous C	All	None	Substantial changes to 1/5/6/4/7/8. Creates a single hill district. Population ranges out of bounds		-16.09%	14.49%	31%	yes	Yes. Inconsistent with COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6)	Yes, generally.	Yes, reduced relative to current map	yes	No, not in any obvious way.					
22	22_2021-11-15 RCJR	All	The most important change proposed by our map is the creation of two student supermajority districts, labeled Downtown and East. Students are about 13rd of our city's population, most concentrated in the Downtown, Northside, and Southside neighborhoods. The Downtown district combines the Downtown and Northside neighborhoods, which share similar characteristics, including dense population, high percentage of renters, and large multifamily housing. The East district unifies the densely populated student neighborhoods in Southside by capturing the on-campus and off-campus student housing in this area, including the dorms, apartment complexes, shared houses, co-ops, and greek houses. The other districts are drawn with a focus on keeping neighborhoods together and following major streets where possible. The map unifies the North Berkeley Hills, creates a new united West Berkeley district including Poets Corner and the San Pablo Park Area, and a compact Central Berkeley District. The map also prioritizes African American representation in West and South Berkeley, with both districts Black CVAP 20%.	Two student districts		-2.39%	2.66%	5%	Yes, no change from current.	Yes. Captured most COI Comments, but split Bateman COI (email 11/30)	No.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.					
23	23_2021-11-15 West Berkeley Business District	All	None	Single West Berkeley district, two student districts.		-2.55%	3.94%	6%	Yes, no change from current.	Yes. Captured most well, but did not reflect COI Concerns from LeConte (11/30 email) nor Poets Corner (COIs 29-32).	No. Avoided major roads (Sacramento, University, Telegraph).	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.					
24	24_2021-11-15 Kelly Hammargren Map 1	All	Small adjustments to existing district map	Small changes to district boundaries.		-0.72%	0.52%	1%	Yes, no change from current.	Yes. Captured many COI concerns, but did not reflect Halcyon nor LeConte COI (email 11/30).	Yes, generally. But some major roads avoided (University, Sacramento).	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.					
25	25_2021-11-15 ASUC (Riya Master)	All	Variation 2 on existing district map	Small changes to district boundaries.		-0.44%	0.29%	1%	Yes, no change from current.	Yes. Ada St (COI 3, 7), Poets Corner (COI 28-32), Milvia (COI 8) are not taken into account.	No. Avoided University.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.					
26	26_2021-11-15 ASUC (Riya Master)	All	The most important change proposed by our map is the creation of two student supermajority districts, labeled Downtown and East. Students are about 13rd of our city's population, most concentrated in the Downtown, Northside, and Southside neighborhoods. The Downtown district combines the Downtown and Northside neighborhoods, which share similar characteristics, including dense population, high percentage of renters, and large multifamily housing. The East district unifies the densely populated student neighborhoods in Southside by capturing the on-campus and off-campus student housing in this area, including the dorms, apartment complexes, shared houses, co-ops, and greek houses. The other districts are drawn with a focus on keeping neighborhoods together and following major streets where possible. The map unifies the North Berkeley Hills, creates a new united West Berkeley district including Poets Corner and the San Pablo Park Area, and a compact Central Berkeley District. The map also prioritizes African American representation in West and South Berkeley, with both districts Black CVAP 20%.	Single West Berkeley district, two student districts.		-0.66%	0.68%	1%	Yes, no change from current.	No, this map captures most COI comments.	No, uses some pretty confusing boundaries.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, tried to combine more hilly areas together (i.e. Thousand Oaks)	Yes, see verbatim narrative.					

Map Identification															Mapping Request(s)	DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion	
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Cx as directives to staff in creation of maps)	Public Communications Related to Submitted Map <i>Staff will populate using COI, public comment, and public communication submissions.</i> (Ex: at meetings, emails, etc.)	Population Lowest Deviation	Population Highest Deviation	Population Total Deviation	Contiguity	Does it contradict Communities of Interest reported or understood by Commission? Cross-reference to COI number.	Utilizes Easily Understood Boundaries (Ex: Major Traffic Arterials and Geographic Boundaries Consistent with Communities of Interest)	District Compactness	Topography Considered (Ex: Flatlands, Foothills, Hills, Ft above sea level. If fairly similar to existing map, we assume that to be a "Yes")	Geography Considered (Ex: freestations, libraries, schools, parks, lakes)	Integrity & Cohesiveness	Summary of Major Boundary Change(s) Requested	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
27	27_2021-11-15 Gregory Magofna	All	This map uses current districts as a base to try to straighten out district borders while keeping groups with similar homes, incomes, and travel modes together. - D1: grows into Northbrae and Live Oak in D5 where smaller single family homes mix w smaller apts and gives Central Berkeley to D4. - D2 gains the rest of Poets Corner from D4 and cedes the edge of the Lorin back to D3, more cohesively uniting SW Berkeley. - D3 reincorporates part of the Lorin and gains a bit of S Berkeley keeping the S Berkeley area. - D4 is a more cohesive Central Berkeley, w Ohlone Park area and a little of N Berkeley in the flats while still keeping Downtown. - D5 gains the Gourmet Ghetto and parts of South Hampton and Cragmont uniting an area with larger homes and higher incomes. - D6 shifts to incorporate more high fire zone areas of Berkeley with winding roads and parking and emergency vehicle access concerns. - D7 is a more cohesive Southside w large concentrations of students and student housing. - D8 gains Willard and more of the LeConte neighborhood keeping a nice mix of historical single and multifamily homes.	Corrects Councilperson prerogatives. Aligns to COIs very well. Consider as a map for public input.		-2.64%	2.56%	5%	Yes, no change from current.	No, this map does a great job with COIs.	Yes.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, combined hilly areas in a more logical manner.	Yes, see verbatim narrative.	Yes, no change from current map.			
28	28_2021-11-15 Berkeley Citizens Action (BCA)	All	Berkeley Citizens Action (BCA) is a non-partisan political club, which has served Berkeley since 1974, fighting for progressive policies, especially with regard to affordable housing, social justice and equality. The Steering Committee of Berkeley Citizens Action is submitting the enclosed map to the redistricting committee for your consideration, feeling that it meets the specified contiguity, compactness, population, and geographic requirements, and protects the integrity of the following communities of interest: • Districts 2 and 3, which are South Berkeley's historically African American neighborhoods, include the following communities: San Pablo Park, West Berkeley, the Adeline Corridor, Lorin, LeConte and Bateman; In particular, the Lorin and LeConte neighborhoods, which have active community engagement, are no longer split between different council districts • District 1 encompasses Northwest Berkeley's Gilman, 4th Street, and North Berkeley communities; • District 4 is comprised of Central Berkeley's McGee Spaulding, North Shattuck and Downtown communities; • District 7 restores Berkeley's traditional student district including the predominantly student parts of Northside and Southside; • District 8 encompasses Southeast Berkeley's Panoramic Hill, Elmwood and Claremont neighborhoods; • District 5 includes Central North Berkeley's Westbrae, Northbrae, Live Oak, Thousand Oaks, and the Solano District communities. • District 6 includes Northeast Berkeley's South Hampton, Cragmont, Northside, Terrace View and Hills communities	Incorporates Northside of University of California in District 7 and adds areas east of Sacramento St to District 2.		-2.39%	2.66%	5%	Yes, no change from current.	Yes. Most other COI concerns are addressed, but it splits Poets Corner by moving D1/D2 boundary south of University (COIs 29-32).	No. Avoided University or Sacramento.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, hilly areas north of University of California included in D7	Yes, see verbatim narrative.	Yes, no change from current map.			
29	29_2021-11-15 Ailsen & Holland	1,2,3,4,7	None	Shifts District 4 westward, excludes portions of Shattuck		N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes, no change from current.	Yes, it does not adjust districts other than 4, so COI concerns for other districts are not addressed.	No. Did not use major arteries.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	No, district 4 no longer abuts University of California.	Yes, District 4 remains cohesive.			



No Material
Available for
this Item

There is no material for this item.

City Clerk Department
2180 Milvia Street
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-6900

The City of Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission Webpage:
<https://www.cityofberkeley.info/irc/>

